Presence and Growth of Naturalized *Escherichia coli* in Temperate Soils from Lake Superior Watersheds
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The presence of *Escherichia coli* in water is used as an indicator of fecal contamination, but recent reports indicate that soil populations can also be detected in tropical, subtropical, and some temperate environments. In this study, we report that viable *E. coli* populations were repeatedly isolated from northern temperate soils in three Lake Superior watersheds from October 2003 to October 2004. Seasonal variation in the population density of soilborne *E. coli* was observed; the greatest cell densities, up to \(3 \times 10^8\) CFU/g soil, were found in the summer to fall (June to October), and the lowest numbers, \(\leq 1\) CFU/g soil, occurred during the winter to spring months (February to May). Horizontal, fluorophore-enhanced repetitive extragenic palindromic PCR (HFERP) DNA fingerprint analyses indicated that identical soilborne *E. coli* genotypes, those with \(\geq 92\%\) similarity values, overwintered in frozen soil and were present over time. Soilborne *E. coli* strains had HFERP DNA fingerprints that were unique to specific soils and locations, suggesting that these *E. coli* strains became naturalized, autochthonous members of the soil microbial community. In laboratory studies, naturalized *E. coli* strains had the ability to grow and replicate to high cell densities, up to \(4.2 \times 10^5\) CFU/g soil, in nonsterile soils when incubated at 30 or 37°C and survived longer than 1 month when soil temperatures were \(\leq 25^\circ\)C. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the growth of naturalized *E. coli* in nonsterile, nonamended soils. The presence of significant populations of naturalized populations of *E. coli* in temperate soils may confound the use of this bacterium as an indicator of fecal contamination.

Indicator bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, were originally thought to be present only in the intestinal tracts of warm-blooded animals, including humans (25, 29). The occurrence of *E. coli* in water and food has been used as an indicator of fecal contamination, signaling the possible presence of fecal pathogens such as *Salmonella* and *Shigella* species (37). This is due, in part, to the observed correlation between elevated *E. coli* counts in water and the rate of occurrence of gastrointestinal symptoms or disease (37). Due to this association, *E. coli* has been routinely used as an indicator of fecal contamination in drinking and recreational waters throughout the world.

The determination of the origin(s) of *E. coli* in water is of great interest to government regulatory agencies, beach managers, environmentalists, and operators of sewage treatment facilities. Since *E. coli* strains in water can originate from human and nonhuman sources, such as farm and wild animals, waterfowl, and pets, source determination may allow for proper risk assessment and abatement procedures. Several methods have been examined to track the potential source(s) of *E. coli* in waterways, including the use of antibiotic resistance patterns (18, 23, 27), hybridization with restriction fragments of 16S and 23S rRNA genes (ribotyping) (10), analysis of sequence variation in the *uidA* gene (28), and repetitive extragenic palindromic PCR (14, 20). Although experimental in nature, many of these techniques have shown that *E. coli* in water may originate from human and nonhuman hosts, suggesting that elevated levels of *E. coli* in water may not always indicate the presence of human-derived pathogens.

*E. coli* has been found in tropical and subtropical soils (8, 13, 16, 31) and has been shown to grow in tropical soils in laboratory studies if provided with amendments (7, 8). Recently, Byappanahalli and coworkers (9) reported that *E. coli* could also be isolated from coastal temperate forest soils in Indiana, suggesting that soilborne *E. coli* may be more ubiquitous than originally thought. However, while there is limited information concerning the survival of *E. coli* in riverine sediments and soils (2, 5, 6, 11, 30, 38), growth of these bacteria in the environment is not well understood or documented. Various stresses influence the survival of *E. coli* in soils, such as high and low temperatures (3, 34), limited moisture (4, 7, 9, 13, 31), variation in soil texture (13), low organic matter content (33), high salinity (32), and predation (5, 6, 7, 11, 31). Solo-Gabriele et al. (31) reported that *E. coli* counts were elevated after tidal events, suggesting that this bacterium can grow in riverbank soils and move back into water by erosion. Based on these results, soil and sand should perhaps be thought of as both a sink and a source of *E. coli* for waterways. Since the use of *E. coli* as an indicator of fecal contamination is based on the assumption that it inhabits only the intestinal tracts of warm-blooded animals (37), the existence of soilborne *E. coli* confounds the use of this bacterium as a reliable indicator of fecal contamination (16).

Since most studies that have examined *E. coli* in soils were done in tropical, subtropical, or moderate temperate environments, we were interested in determining if soilborne *E. coli* was also present, and grew, in northern temperate soils exposed to extreme environmental conditions, such as repetitive freeze-thaw cycles. Consequently, the objectives of this study...
were to (i) examine the survival and persistence of *E. coli* populations in three soils in several coastal Lake Superior watersheds and to determine if these *E. coli* strains have become naturalized to these soils, (ii) examine the genetic relatedness of soilborne *E. coli* strains from different locations, and (iii) determine if soilborne *E. coli* could actively multiply in the soils examined.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Site description.** Field investigations were performed at three sampling sites located in Lake Superior watersheds near Duluth, Minnesota: Kingsbury Stark (KS), Nemadji Weinstein (NW), and St. Louis Clyde (SC). The KS site is adjacent to the intersection between Kingsbury Creek and Stark Avenue (46°44′/H11032 59′/H11033 N, 92°14′/H11032 18′/H11033 W) in Proctor, MN (Fig. 1). The KS site is a partial wetland and rich in organic matter (Table 1). Samples were taken 10 times from three different landscape positions at the KS site (Fig. 2A), 5, 12, and 14 m from the shoreline (KS5, KS12, and KS14, respectively), from October 2003 to October 2004. The KS5 and KS12 site positions were saturated year-round, while the KS14 site position was located on a hill slope and was rarely saturated. The Kingsbury Creek water level increased in April due to the melting of snow, and the KS5 and KS12 positions were under river water during this month.

The NW site is adjacent to the intersection between the Nemadji River and Weinstein Avenue (46°38′/H11032 01′/H11033 N, 92°05′/H11032 39′/H11033 W) in Superior, WI (Fig. 1). This site has a sandy soil that is low in organic matter (Table 1). Three different landscape positions were sampled at the NW site (nine times), at the waterline (NW0), 5 m from the shoreline (NW5), and 7.5 m from the shoreline (NW7.5). The SC site is adjacent to the intersection between the Nemadji River and Clyde Avenue (46°37′/H11032 30′/H11033 N, 92°05′/H11032 36′/H11033 W) in Superior, WI (Fig. 1). This site has a sandy loam soil that is low in organic matter (Table 1). Three different landscape positions were sampled at the SC site (nine times), at the waterline (SC0), 2 m from the shoreline (SC2), and 5 m from the shoreline (SC5).

**TABLE 1. Chemical and physical properties of soils used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Textural class</th>
<th>Soil component (%)</th>
<th>OM (%)</th>
<th>Available N (%)</th>
<th>Total P (mg/kg)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>CEC (cmol/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KS5</td>
<td>Organic soil</td>
<td>85.9 8.3 5.8</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1,484.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS12</td>
<td>Organic soil</td>
<td>73.8 14.1 12.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>798.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS14</td>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
<td>90.0 4.4 5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>605.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW0</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>82.7 5.8 7.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>215.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW5</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>74.0 10.5 15.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>614.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW7.5</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>79.7 7.7 12.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>605.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC0</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>70.0 15.5 14.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>614.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC2</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>90.0 4.4 5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>605.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Soil component determined by hydrometer method.
*b Organic matter content determined by loss of ignition method.
%c Summation of NH\(_4\)-N and NO\(_3\)-N measured on moist soil samples and calculated for oven-dry soil basis.
*d Cation exchange capacity (unit of charge per weight of soil) was calculated by summation of exchangeable cations (K, Ca, Mg, Na, and H).
upstream of the waterline on an eroded bank (NW5), and 7.5 m upstream of the waterline (NW5.5), from October 2003 to October 2004 (Fig. 2B). The water level of the Nemadji River increased from April to July, mainly due to melting snow. The NW0 and NW5 positions were under river water in April. A sediment clay layer was exposed at the NW0 position when the water level was low and may have contributed to bacterial retention due to a large, negatively charged surface area.

The SC site is adjacent to the intersection between the St. Louis River, whose estuary is part of Duluth-Superior Harbor, and Clyde Avenue (46°42′04″N, 92°12′26″W) in Duluth, MN (Fig. 1). Part of the City of Duluth’s wastewater treatment facility was located near the SC site more than 20 years ago (personal communication, Joe Stepun, Western Lake Superior Sanitary District). Two different landscape positions (Fig. 2C) were used for sampling at this site: the waterline (SC0) and 2 m upstream of the waterline (SC2). Ten samples were taken from this site from October 2003 through October 2004. The water level of the St. Louis River increased from April to September, and the SC0 location during this period was under river water. Due to the unexpected construction of a water drainage system in this area, the sampling positions were moved 3 m south of the initial site after the first sampling time (2 October 2003).

In order to exclude external E. coli inputs to soils, from animals or water, protection boxes (soil exclosures) were used to cover soils at the KS14 site on 16 October 2004. Exclosures were constructed from 121-liter (42-cm-diameter) plastic garbage containers (Rubbermaid, Fairlawn, Ohio) cut to a final height of 30 cm. Four mesh-covered windows were cut into the sides of the exclosures to allow air to enter. The open ends of exclosures were buried 10 cm into the soil, and samples were taken at a 10-cm depth from the protected soils on 22 November 2004. Disturbance of the exclosures was not observed during this approximately 1-month period.

**Isolation of E. coli strains.** Soil samples from the 0- to 10-cm depth were taken using ethanol-disinfected core tubes and stored in Whirl-Pak bags at 4°C until processed, usually within 24 h. Moist soil samples (10 to 20 g) were diluted with 95 ml of 0.1 M gelatin-ammonium phosphate extraction solution (22) in screw-cap 200-ml bottles and shaken for 30 min at 280 rpm on a wrist-action shaker. Aliquots (20 and 2 ml, separately) of the upper, soil-free phase were filtered, in duplicate, through 0.22-μm Teflon membrane filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Membrane filters were placed onto the surface of mFC agar medium (Difco, Detroit, MI) and incubated at 35°C for 2 h, followed by 44.5°C for 22 h. A 10-ml aliquot of the upper soil-free phase was serially diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7) containing 0.01% gelatin (36). A 200-μl aliquot from each dilution was spread, in duplicate, onto mFC agar plates and incubated at 35°C for 2 h, followed by incubation at 44.5°C for 2 h. Incubations done at 35°C for 2 h were used to resuscitate weakened coliform bacteria (15). Colonies were counted, and results are reported as CFU per g oven-dried soil (39).

Water samples were taken in sterile Whirl-Pak bags, stored at 4°C until processed, usually within 6 h, and analyzed using standard methods as described previously (12). Two volumes of water, 100 ml and 10 ml, were separately filtered through 0.45-μm membrane filters, placed onto the surface of mFC agar, and incubated for 2 h. Bacterial counts for each time and temperature conditions found at each site: 17.2, 9.3, and 76.3% moisture for the KS14, NW, and SC site, respectively, obtained near Duluth, MN (19). In addition, we examined the relatedness of HFERP DNA fingerprints of the soil E. coli strains to those in a DNA fingerprint library consisting of 1,535 unique E. coli strains isolated from humans and 12 animal sources (dogs, cats, horses, deer, geese, ducks, chickens, turkeys, cows, pigs, goats, and sheep) obtained throughout Minnesota (20).

**Spontaneously occurring antibiotic-resistant E. coli mutants.** E. coli strains obtained from soils at the KS, NW, and SC field sites were evaluated for resistance to nalidixic acid (Nal) and rifampin (Rif). Strains were grown on antibiotic 3 (A3) agar medium (Difco) containing 10, 20, 40, 60, or 80 μg Nal (Fluka, Milwaukee, WI) per ml or 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, or 80 μg Rif (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) per ml. Antibiotic concentrations were gradually increased from 5 μg to 80 μg per ml to obtain doubly marked, antibiotic-resistant strains that were resistant to 30 μg Nal and 60 μg Rif per ml. Strain identity of Nal and Rif mutants was verified using HFERP DNA fingerprinting studies, growth on lab-on-chip media, and API20E (BioMerieux, Nyon, Switzerland). Identification was performed using the product-moment correlation coefficient of the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) clustering method (20). Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was performed to cluster E. coli strains from each source group (9, 14). ID bootstrap analysis (at P = 0.9), done using a Biosnippets script (http://www.applied-maths.com/bn/scripts/bnscripts.htm), was performed to identify the potential source(s) of the E. coli isolates from soil samples (9).
RESULTS

Isolation of E. coli from soils and water. A total of 759 E. coli strains were isolated from all sites from 2 October 2003 to 16 October 2004 (Fig. 1). Among these, 586 strains were isolated from soil (252, 157, and 177 from the KS, NW, and SC sites, respectively) and 173 strains were isolated from water (63, 59, and 51 from the KS, NW, and SC sites, respectively). All isolates were confirmed as E. coli by growth and reaction on selective and differential growth media, biochemical tests, and API20E test strips.

Great variation was observed in the population density of soilborne E. coli at all three sites over the sampling period. In general, E. coli counts as measured as CFU on selective medium were greater in the summer and fall (June to October) and lower during winter and spring (February to May) (Fig. 3). E. coli isolates from the KS14 soil having identical HFERP DNA fingerprints, at a similarity value of greater than 92%, appeared in October 2003 and then again in April 2004 (Fig. 3), indicating that some soilborne E. coli strains can survive over the winter months and grow during the summer months.

The greatest numbers of E. coli bacteria were recovered from the KS14 and NW0 soils, with a maximum density of $3 \times 10^3$ CFU/g soil. Overall, the KS5 organic soil had the greatest number of E. coli bacteria over all sampling dates, ranging from 5 to 1,150 CFU/g soil. The greatest number of E. coli bacteria recovered from the KS12, NW0, and SC2 sites occurred during October 2003, while the least number of E. coli bacteria (about 1 CFU/g soil) was found at the NW7.5 site, but only during the July-August sampling dates. These differences may, in part, be related to nutrient availability in soils (Table 1). The KS5 soil had the highest organic matter and total P contents of all soils tested, while NW7.5 soil was low in organic matter and P content (Table 1).

Genetic relatedness of E. coli in Minnesota soils. HFERP DNA fingerprint analyses of E. coli strains isolated from soils indicated that the E. coli isolates from the KS, NW, and SC soils were relatively genetically diverse with respect to soil, landscape position, and sampling date (data not shown due to number and excessive length of dendrograms). Relative similarity values of E. coli isolates recovered from the KS, NW, and SC soils ranged from 5 to 100%, 18 to 100%, and 10 to 100%, respectively. However, some of the E. coli strains recovered from each soil were nearly genetically identical with similarity values of 92 to 100%. Johnson et al. (20) reported that repeated HFERP DNA fingerprint analysis of a single E. coli strain produced an average similarity of 92%. Consequently, in this study, isolates having genetic similarity values of ≥92% were defined as being the same strain. According to this scheme, there were 32, 84, and 49 unique E. coli strains recovered from the KS, NW, and SC sites, respectively. Moreover, 89, 46, and 85% of the soil isolates from the KS, NW, and SC sites, respectively, were genetically related at >95% similarity levels and can thus be viewed as the same genotype (Fig. 4).

The DNA fingerprints of E. coli recovered from soils were compared with those in the Duluth fingerprint library by using ID bootstrap analyses at a $P$ value of >0.90. Results of this analysis indicated that 7 and 12% of the E. coli isolates from the KS site most likely originated from beaver and terns, respectively. Likewise, 5, 2, 5, and 1% of the E. coli isolates from the NW site presumably originated from beaver, deer, geese, and terns, respectively, and 2, 2, and 11% of E. coli isolates at the SC site most likely originated from deer, terns, and beaver, respectively. The potential sources of the remainder of the recovered soil E. coli isolates (81, 87, and 85% of the KS, NW, and SC isolates, respectively) could not be determined using this fingerprint library at the probability level adopted or using...
the more comprehensive Minnesota fingerprint library containing over 1,500 unique E. coli fingerprints. This indicated that the majority of soilborne E. coli isolates may be unique to soil and were not present in the two DNA fingerprint libraries examined.

**Naturalized E. coli in Minnesota soils.** Further examination of the dendrograms indicated that some of the same E. coli strains with similarity values of ≈92% appeared over time at each site, suggesting that they were part of the autochthonous soil bacterial community (Fig. 4). For example, the same E. coli strain was repeatedly isolated from the KS14 site from October 2003 to October 2004. Similar results were obtained from the NW and SC sites (data not shown). These strains represented 28, 17, and 14% of all E. coli strains isolated from the KS, NW, and SC soils, respectively, and their sources could not be determined by ID bootstrap analysis using the Duluth or Minnesota fingerprint libraries. We propose to use the term naturalized E. coli to describe these isolates. In this study naturalized E. coli isolates were defined by the following criteria: (i) they had unique HFERP DNA fingerprints that were not similar to E. coli strains from known source DNA fingerprint libraries at a P value of 0.9, (ii) their HFERP DNA fingerprints clustered together on the dendrogram at a similarity value of ≈92%, and (iii) the same E. coli strain could be repeatedly isolated from each site over time.

The relatedness of the naturalized E. coli strains recovered from each site was examined using MANOVA. Results presented in Fig. 5A show that the strains from each site clustered together, indicating that different populations became independently naturalized at each site. The first and second discriminants accounted for 100% of the variation, indicating that the strains are tightly clustered by site.

The genetic relatedness of the naturalized E. coli strains from the KS, NW, and SC sites to E. coli strains in the Duluth HFERP DNA fingerprint library was further explored by using MANOVA (Fig. 5B). Naturalized E. coli strains from each sampling site were distinctly clustered and could be readily separated from E. coli strains present in the fingerprint library. The first and second discriminants of the MANOVAs of E. coli from the KS, NW, and SC sites accounted for 84, 79, and 86% of the variation, respectively, indicating that the naturalized strains at each site were distinct and easily distinguishable from strains obtained from local animal feces.

The HFERP DNA fingerprints of naturalized soilborne E. coli strains were also compared to E. coli strains isolated from water at the KS, NW, and SC sites. MANOVAs indicated that the naturalized E. coli strains from each soil had different DNA fingerprints from those in water (Fig. 5C). The first and second discriminants of the MANOVAs of E. coli from the KS, NW, and SC sites accounted for 89, 84, and 97% of the variation, respectively, indicating that the naturalized strains at each site were easily differentiated from E. coli strains obtained from water. Moreover, cluster analyses indicated that the E. coli strains obtained from water samples were more genetically diverse within a site (similarity values ranging from 15 to 99%) than the naturalized E. coli obtained from soils (data not shown). However, within-site diversity of the naturalized soil isolates was relatively low; strains were 92 to 100% similar. These results are consistent with our initial hypothesis that the soilborne E. coli isolates became naturalized to the sites and were not simply reinoculated from water into soils.

Further evidence for the naturalization of E. coli strains comes from studies done with exclosure boxes that protected soils from additional E. coli inputs due to the deposition of fecal materials from animals or from rain-derived runoff. For example, all E. coli strains recovered from exclosure-protected KS14 soil had HFERP DNA fingerprints that were >95% similar to those of naturalized E. coli strains previously isolated from this site. These data suggest that the soilborne, natural-
FIG. 5. MANOVA of HFERP DNA fingerprints from *E. coli* strains. The first two discriminants are represented by the distances along the x and y axes. (A) MANOVA of HFERP DNA fingerprints from naturalized *E. coli* strains from the KS (■), NW (●), and SC (▲) sites; (B) MANOVA of HFERP DNA fingerprints obtained from naturalized *E. coli* strains from the KS (■), NW (●), and SC (▲) sites and *E. coli* isolated from feces of geese (□), terns and gulls (○), and deer (△); (C) MANOVA of HFERP DNA fingerprints of naturalized *E. coli* obtained from soils at the KS (■), NW (●), and SC (▲) sites and *E. coli* isolated from water at the same sites (×).
ized strains were adapted to this soil and were not continuously added from external sources.

**Growth of E. coli in nonsterile soils.** Since the same strains could be repeatedly isolated from the same soil, incubation studies were done to examine the persistence and growth of naturalized *E. coli* strains in natural, nonsterile soils. Antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* strains KS-7NR, NW-13NR, and SC-20NR were developed from naturalized *E. coli* strains obtained from the KS, NW, and SC sites, respectively. All of the spontaneously occurring, antibiotic-resistant strains were identified as *E. coli* by using API20E test strips, by growth on selective and differential media, and by biochemical reactions. Sequence analysis of the nearly complete 16S rRNA gene confirmed that these strains were bona fide *E. coli*, with greater than 99.5% nucleotide sequence identity with the *E. coli* K-12 reference strain MG1655 (accession number U00096). Moreover, HFERP DNA fingerprint analyses indicated that the spontaneously occurring, antibiotic-resistant strains were >98% similar to their respective parent strains. Nonsterile soils from these sites were inoculated with antibiotic-resistant strains originating from the same location at that site to an initial cell density of about 10^4 CFU/g soil. Representative colonies recovered at 0, 2, and 32 days after inoculation were identified as the inoculant *E. coli* strains using growth, biochemical, and HFERP DNA fingerprint analyses. Population densities of all strains significantly increased (*P* = 0.05) in the KS, NW, and SC soils when incubated at 37°C (Fig. 6A). Cell densities increased to a maximum of 4.2 × 10^5, 1.8 × 10^5, and 1.7 × 10^5 CFU/g soil in the KS, NW, and SC soils, respectively, 2 to 3 days after inoculation. This represents a 20- to 655-fold increase relative to initial cell densities added to soils. Thereafter, however, cell densities decreased to a level lower than the initial inoculation amount, and to less than the detection limit (approximately 1 CFU/g soil), by 32 days after inoculation. No colonies appeared on A3 agar medium supplemented with antibiotics from any of the uninoculated, negative-control soils. Moreover, cell densities (measured at 600 nm) did not increase when the KS-7NR, NW-13NR, and SC-20NR strains were inoculated into M9 medium, without C and N sources, and incubated at 37°C. Taken together, these results indicate that the growth of *E. coli* observed in these soils was not due to nutrient carryover from the inocula or detection of noninoculated *E. coli* or other bacteria from soils. These results support the contention that at least some resident *E. coli* strains can utilize nutrients in each soil to replicate at 37°C.

Only limited, or no, *E. coli* growth was seen at the other temperatures examined, and cell densities decreased to a level lower than the initial inoculation level, or the detection limit, by 32 days after inoculation. At 30°C, strain KS-7NR reached a maximum cell density of 3.4 × 10^4 CFU/g soil in the KS soil 1 day after inoculation, a 5.6-fold increase. While *E. coli* strains incubated at 4, 15, and 25°C did not show an increase in cell abundance, they were able to survive longer at these temperatures than when incubated at 30°C or 37°C (Fig. 6A). Repeated experiments done in all three soils showed a similar trend: *E. coli* cell density increased at 37°C after 2 days of incubation, and cells survived up to 32 days of incubation at 15°C (data not shown).

Results in Fig. 6B show that there was no growth response when *E. coli* strains were incubated at 15°C. However, strain SC-20NR showed a rapid increase in growth after the soil temperature was shifted to 37°C. Similarly, strain NW-13NR showed a significant increase in growth following the temperature shift, but the magnitude (1-log increase) and rate of growth were not as great as those seen with strain SC-20NR. Similar to what was found in the constant-temperature experiments, the population densities of all strains decreased to values less than or equal to the inoculation level 1 to 2 days after the temperature shift. In a separate experiment, strain NW-13NR was inoculated to 9 × 10^2 CFU/g soil into NW15 soil and incubated at 15°C for 16 days and then shifted to 37°C for 8 days. The cell density of this strain gradually declined to 1.1 × 10^2 CFU/g soil 16 days after inoculation and then increased, 10-fold, to 1.04 × 10^3 CFU/g soil 4 days after the temperature increased to 37°C. However, cell numbers of NW-13NR decreased to 7.7 CFU/g soil 8 days after the temperature shift (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In the current study, we investigated whether *E. coli* could grow and persist in temperate riverine soils of northern Minnesota, which are subjected to temperature and moisture extremes. While there was great seasonal and site variation in *E. coli* population densities in soils examined, *E. coli* could be routinely isolated from these soils. Seasonal and site variation in *E. coli* counts in these temperate soils is most likely related to temperature and moisture changes occurring in this region and the patch distribution of *E. coli* within the sampling plots (9). The mean high temperature in Duluth, Minnesota, was 25°C for 2003 to 2004 and occurred during July. The highest daily air temperature during this period near the test sites was 32.2°C. In contrast, the mean low temperature was −20°C in January. Results from our studies also indicated that the same strain (genotype) of *E. coli* survived over the winter months, through several freeze-thaw cycles, and subsequently grew during summer months. While Byappanahalli et al. (9) recently reported that the population dynamics of *E. coli* strains from temperate Indiana coastal forest soils were similar to those reported here, extremes in temperature were not as pronounced at the sites they examined. In addition to temperature variation in the population dynamics of *E. coli* found in the soils used in this study might also be partially due to nutrient availability. Tate (33) observed that *E. coli* populations were three times greater in an organic soil than in a sandy soil, suggesting that nutrients in the organic matter support growth.

HFERP DNA fingerprint analyses indicated that identical *E. coli* strains (similarity values of ≥92%) could be isolated from the same sites over multiple seasons, suggesting that these bacteria can persist in soil over the long term. Further evidence for the autochthonous nature of these *E. coli* strains was provided by DNA fingerprint analyses showing that unique dominant strains were isolated from particular soils and locations. HFERP DNA fingerprint analyses also showed that these strains were different from *E. coli* strains obtained from wildlife commonly found in the studied habitats or river water, indicating that these strains were most likely not directly deposited or replaced by *E. coli* from animal feces or water, similar to what Byappanahalli et al. (9) observed in Indiana.
soil. In this study, we referred to these bacteria as being naturalized. Furthermore, E. coli strains recovered from the exclusion-protected KS14 soil in November had HFERP DNA fingerprints identical to those of naturalized strains recovered from the KS soil during the previous year. Consequently, the Minnesota soils we examined appear to be a recurrent reservoir for E. coli, whose presence over time is not likely solely due to the inoculation of new genotypes into soils from local or transient point and nonpoint sources.

Our results also support the contention that naturalized E. coli strains were mobile in soils. For example, a unique, naturalized E. coli population was present at the near-shore KS5 and KS12 sites only when this strain was abundant at the upslope KS14. This observation suggests that the naturalized population may have moved downslope from KS14 towards the river by erosion or runoff events. These results are consistent with those reported by Solo-Gabriele et al. (31), who showed that soil- and sediment-borne E. coli can move due to tidal events.

The soil incubation studies presented here show that naturalized E. coli strains have the ability to grow in nonamended, nonsterile, Minnesota soils. While several previous reports have suggested that E. coli has the potential to grow in soils, these studies were done using gamma-irradiated sterile soil (8),

![FIG. 6. Influence of temperature on survival and growth of naturalized E. coli in Minnesota soils. Nalidixic acid- and rifampin-resistant naturalized E. coli strains KS-7NR, NW-13NR, and SC-20NR were inoculated into KS, NW, and SC soils, respectively. Values presented are means of CFU ± standard errors on A3 agar medium. (A) Soils were incubated at constant temperatures of 4°C (○), 15°C (○), 25°C (▲), 30°C (▲), or 37°C (■); (B) E. coli strains KS-7NR (○), NW-13NR (○), and SC-20NR (▲) were inoculated into their respective soils of isolation and incubated at 15°C for 4 days and then shifted (↑) to 37°C for an additional 4 days.](image-url)
addition of bile salts to inhibit nonfecal coliform bacteria, or addition of small amounts of carbon- and nitrogen-containing compounds as nutrients (4, 7, 8, 35). However, growth in soils was significantly impacted by temperature and occurred only if soil temperatures were at or above 30°C. Moreover, our laboratory studies clearly showed that E. coli grew to highest cell densities at 37°C. Thus, maximum cell growth was most likely not realized at the sites examined, since it is doubtful that soils in Minnesota reach 37°C at any time during the year. However, the highest daily air temperature was 32.2°C in July 2004, most likely providing enough heat in the upper surface of soils to promote moderate E. coli growth.

We speculate that growth of E. coli strains in minimal medium before introduction into soils helped E. coli adjust to the nutrient-limiting conditions found in these soils. This was demonstrated in preliminary soil incubation experiments, where inocula from naturalized E. coli strains prepared in nutrient-rich medium (A3 medium) failed to grow in soils (data not shown). This failure may be one reason why others have previously demonstrated growth of E. coli only in nutrient- and manure-amended soils (4, 7, 8, 35). Moreover, growth and survival of E. coli in water, sediment, and soils have been reported to be strain dependent (2, 17, 35), and the naturalized E. coli strains that we studied here may have a selective advantage to grow in soils.

E. coli cell densities decreased after rapid cell growth at both 30 and 37°C, suggesting that E. coli exhausted the bioavailable nutrients or predation controlled the final E. coli population size. While Topp et al. (35) also observed a decline of E. coli in soils after a rapid cell increase, Berry and Miller (4) reported that E. coli O157:H7 maintained a stable population density after growth in soil. The differences in the observed growth rates of E. coli from the KS, NW, and SC soils may also be due to the nutrient content and availability in the three soils examined. E. coli grew fastest in the KS soil, which had a relatively large amount of organic matter, and more slowly in soils having less organic matter.

While E. coli isolates did not show an increase in cell density in soils at 25°C, they survived longer at this temperature than if incubated above 30°C. These results are similar to those reported by Berry et al. (3), Terzieva and McFeters (34), and Topp et al. (35), who demonstrated that the survival of E. coli in soils was related to soil incubation temperatures. However, Berry and Miller (4) showed the growth of E. coli at lower temperature, around 19°C, in manure-rich soils. In our studies, E. coli incubated in soils at 15°C for 4 days or longer showed growth if the soil temperature was raised to 37°C. These findings suggest that the soilborne E. coli isolates can persist in colder soils and are poised for growth if favorable conditions occur. Jones et al. (21) reported that E. coli cells adopted an elongated morphology when incubated in liquid culture at 4°C, and these cells were ready to replicate when the temperature was raised above 6°C. Consequently, the presence of naturalized E. coli in northern temperate soils, even if frozen for part of the year, may confound the use of E. coli as a reliable indicator of fecal contamination.
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