Relational Calculus

Chapter 4, Part B

Relational Calculus

- Comes in two flavors: <u>*Tuple relational calculus*</u> (TRC) and <u>*Domain relational calculus*</u> (DRC).
- Calculus has *variables, constants, comparison ops, logical connectives* and *quantifiers*.
  - <u>*TRC*</u>: Variables range over (i.e., get bound to) *tuples*.
  - <u>*DRC*</u>: Variables range over *domain elements* (= field values).
  - Both TRC and DRC are simple subsets of first-order logic.
- Expressions in the calculus are called *formulas*. An answer tuple is essentially an assignment of constants to variables that make the formula evaluate to *true*.

Domain Relational Calculus

- *Query* has the form:

$$\left\{\langle x1, x2, \ldots, xn \rangle \mid p\left(\langle x1, x2, \ldots, xn \rangle\right)\right\}$$

- *Answer* includes all tuples $\langle x1, x2, \ldots, xn \rangle$ that make the *formula* $p\left(\langle x1, x2, \ldots, xn \rangle\right)$ be *true*.
- <u>*Formula*</u> is recursively defined, starting with simple *atomic formulas* (getting tuples from relations or making comparisons of values), and building bigger and better formulas using the *logical connectives*.

DRC Formulas

- *Atomic formula:*
  - $\langle x1, x2, \ldots, xn \rangle \in Rname$, or X *op* Y, or X *op* constant
  - *op* is one of $<, >, =, \leq, \geq, \neq$
- *Formula:*
  - an atomic formula, or
  - $\neg p, p \wedge q, p \vee q$, where p and q are formulas, or
  - $\exists X\,(p(X))$, where variable X is *free* in p(X), or
  - $\forall X\,(p(X))$, where variable X is *free* in p(X)
- The use of quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ is said to <u>*bind*</u> X.
  - A variable that is not bound is free.

Free and Bound Variables

- The use of quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ in a formula is said to <u>*bind*</u> X.
  - A variable that is not bound is <u>free</u>.
- Let us revisit the definition of a query:

$$\left\{\langle x1, x2, \ldots, xn \rangle \mid p\left(\langle x1, x2, \ldots, xn \rangle\right)\right\}$$

- There is an important restriction: the variables x1, ..., xn that appear to the left of \`|' must be the *only* free variables in the formula p(...).

Find all sailors with a rating above 7

$$\left\{\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors \wedge T > 7\right\}$$

- The condition $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors$ ensures that the domain variables *I*, *N*, *T* and *A* are bound to fields of the same Sailors tuple.
- The term $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$ to the left of \`|' (which should be read as *such that*) says that every tuple $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$ that satisfies *T*>7 is in the answer.
- Modify this query to answer:
  - Find sailors who are older than 18 or have a rating under 9, and are called 'Joe'.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved boat #103

$$\left\{ \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in Sailors \wedge T > 7 \wedge \right.$$
$$\left. \exists\, Ir,Br,D \left(\langle Ir,Br,D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge Ir = I \wedge Br = 103 \right) \right\}$$

- We have used $\exists\, Ir, Br, D\ (\ldots)$ as a shorthand for $\exists\, Ir \left(\exists\, Br \left(\exists\, D (\ldots) \right) \right)$
- Note the use of $\exists$ to find a tuple in Reserves that `joins with' the Sailors tuple under consideration.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved a red boat

$$\left\{ \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in Sailors \wedge T > 7 \wedge \right.$$
$$\exists\, Ir,Br,D \left(\langle Ir,Br,D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge Ir = I \wedge \right.$$
$$\left. \left. \exists\, B,BN,C \left(\langle B,BN,C \rangle \in Boats \wedge B = Br \wedge C = 'red' \right) \right) \right\}$$

- Observe how the parentheses control the scope of each quantifier's binding.
- This may look cumbersome, but with a good user interface, it is very intuitive. (MS Access, QBE)

Find sailors who've reserved all boats

$$\left\{ \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in Sailors \wedge \right.$$
$$\forall\, B,BN,C \left(\neg \left(\langle B,BN,C \rangle \in Boats \right) \vee \right.$$
$$\left. \left. \left(\exists\, Ir,Br,D \left(\langle Ir,Br,D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge I = Ir \wedge Br = B \right) \right) \right) \right\}$$

- Find all sailors I such that for each 3-tuple $\langle B,BN,C \rangle$ either it is not a tuple in Boats or there is a tuple in Reserves showing that sailor I has reserved it.

Find sailors who've reserved all boats (again!)

$$\left\{ \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in Sailors \wedge \right.$$
$$\forall\, \langle B,BN,C \rangle \in Boats$$
$$\left. \left(\exists \langle Ir,Br,D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \left(I = Ir \wedge Br = B \right) \right) \right\}$$

- Simpler notation, same query. (Much clearer!)
- To find sailors who've reserved all red boats:

$$\ldots\ldots \left(C \neq 'red' \vee \exists \langle Ir,Br,D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \left(I = Ir \wedge Br = B \right) \right) \}$$

Unsafe Queries, Expressive Power

- It is possible to write syntactically correct calculus queries that have an infinite number of answers! Such queries are called *unsafe*.
  - e.g., $\left\{ S \mid \neg \left(S \in Sailors \right) \right\}$
- It is known that every query that can be expressed in relational algebra can be expressed as a safe query in DRC / TRC; the converse is also true.
- *Relational Completeness*: Query language (e.g., SQL) can express every query that is expressible in relational algebra/calculus.

Summary

- Relational calculus is non-operational, and users define queries in terms of what they want, not in terms of how to compute it. (Declarativeness.)
- Algebra and safe calculus have same expressive power, leading to the notion of relational completeness.