PLANNING

## CHAPTER 11

Outline

◇ Search vs. planning

◇ STRIPS operators

◇ Partial-order planning

Search vs. planning

Consider the task *get milk, bananas, and a cordless drill*
Standard search algorithms seem to fail miserably:

After-the-fact heuristic/goal test inadequate

Search vs. planning contd.

Planning systems do the following:
    1) open up action and goal representation to allow selection
    2) divide-and-conquer by subgoaling
    3) relax requirement for sequential construction of solutions

	Search	Planning
States	Lisp data structures	Logical sentences
Actions	Lisp code	Preconditions/outcomes
Goal	Lisp code	Logical sentence (conjunction)
Plan	Sequence from S_0	Constraints on actions

STRIPS operators

Tidily arranged actions descriptions, restricted language

ACTION: $Buy(x)$
PRECONDITION: $At(p), Sells(p, x)$
EFFECT: $Have(x)$

[Note: this abstracts away many important details!]

Restricted language $\Rightarrow$ efficient algorithm
      Precondition: conjunction of positive literals
      Effect: conjunction of literals

A complete set of STRIPS operators can be translated
into a set of successor-state axioms

At(p) Sells(p,x)

Buy(x)

Have(x)

Partially ordered plans

Partially ordered collection of steps with
> $Start$ step has the initial state description as its effect
> $Finish$ step has the goal description as its precondition
> causal links from outcome of one step to precondition of another
> temporal ordering between pairs of steps

Open condition = precondition of a step not yet causally linked

A plan is complete iff every precondition is achieved

A precondition is achieved iff it is the effect of an earlier step
and no possibly intervening step undoes it

Example

Start

*At(Home)*   *Sells(HWS,Drill)*   *Sells(SM,Milk)*   *Sells(SM,Ban.)*

Have(Milk)   *At(Home)*   *Have(Ban.)*   *Have(Drill)*

Finish

Example

Start

At(Home)   *Sells(HWS,Drill)*   *Sells(SM,Milk)*   *Sells(SM,Ban.)*

At(HWS)   *Sells(HWS,Drill)*

Buy(Drill)

At(x)

Go(SM)

At(SM)   *Sells(SM,Milk)*

Buy(Milk)

Have(Milk)   *At(Home)*   *Have(Ban.)*   *Have(Drill)*

Finish

Example

Planning process

Operators on partial plans:

       add a link from an existing action to an open condition

       add a step to fulfill an open condition

       order one step wrt another to remove possible conflicts

Gradually move from incomplete/vague plans to complete, correct plans

Backtrack if an open condition is unachievable or
if a conflict is unresolvable

POP algorithm sketch

function POP(*initial, goal, operators*) **returns** *plan*

    plan ← MAKE-MINIMAL-PLAN(*initial, goal*)
    loop do
        if SOLUTION?(*plan*) **then return** *plan*
        S_{need}, c ← SELECT-SUBGOAL(*plan*)
        CHOOSE-OPERATOR(*plan, operators*, S_{need}, c)
        RESOLVE-THREATS(*plan*)
    end

---

function SELECT-SUBGOAL(*plan*) **returns** S_{need}, c

    pick a plan step S_{need} from STEPS(*plan*)
        with a precondition c that has not been achieved
    return S_{need}, c

POP algorithm contd.

procedure CHOOSE-OPERATOR(*plan, operators,* S_{need}, c)

    choose a step S_{add} from *operators* or STEPS(*plan*) that has c as an effect
    if there is no such step **then fail**
    add the causal link $S_{add} \xrightarrow{c} S_{need}$ to LINKS(*plan*)
    add the ordering constraint $S_{add} \prec S_{need}$ to ORDERINGS(*plan*)
    if S_{add} is a newly added step from *operators* **then**
        add S_{add} to STEPS(*plan*)
        add $Start \prec S_{add} \prec Finish$ to ORDERINGS(*plan*)

---

procedure RESOLVE-THREATS(*plan*)

    for each S_{threat} that threatens a link $S_i \xrightarrow{c} S_j$ in LINKS(*plan*) **do**
        choose either
   *Demotion:* Add $S_{threat} \prec S_i$ to ORDERINGS(*plan*)
            Promotion: Add $S_j \prec S_{threat}$ to ORDERINGS(*plan*)
        if not CONSISTENT(*plan*) **then fail**
    end

Clobbering and promotion/demotion

A clobberer is a potentially intervening step that destroys the condition achieved by a causal link. E.g., $Go(Home)$ clobbers $At(Supermarket)$:

Demotion: put before $Go(Supermarket)$

Promotion: put after $Buy(Milk)$

Properties of POP

Nondeterministic algorithm: backtracks at choice points on failure:
- choice of S_{add} to achieve S_{need}
- choice of demotion or promotion for clobberer
- selection of S_{need} is irrevocable

POP is sound, complete, and systematic (no repetition)

Extensions for disjunction, universals, negation, conditionals

Can be made efficient with good heuristics derived from problem description

Particularly good for problems with many loosely related subgoals

Example: Blocks world

"Sussman anomaly" problem

Start State   Goal State

Clear(x) On(x,z) Clear(y)   *Clear(x) On(x,z)*

PutOn(x,y)   PutOnTable(x)

*~On(x,z) ~Clear(y)*   *~On(x,z) Clear(z) On(x,Table)*
Clear(z) On(x,y)

+ several inequality constraints

Example contd.

START

On(C,A) On(A,Table) Cl(B) On(B,Table) Cl(C)

C
B A

On(A,B)   On(B,C)

FINISH

A
B
C

Example contd.

START

On(C,A) On(A,Table) Cl(B) On(B,Table) Cl(C)

Cl(B) On(B,z) Cl(C)

PutOn(B,C)

On(A,B)   *On(B,C)*

FINISH

Example contd.

START

On(C,A) On(A,Table) Cl(B) On(B,Table) Cl(C)

**PutOn(A,B)
clobbers Cl(B)
=> order after
   PutOn(B,C)**

Cl(B)   *On(B,z) Cl(C)*

Cl(A)   *On(A,z) Cl(B)*

PutOn(B,C)

PutOn(A,B)

On(A,B)   *On(B,C)*

FINISH

Example contd.

START

On(C,A) On(A,Table) Cl(B) On(B,Table) Cl(C)

On(C,z)   *Cl(C)*

PutOnTable(C)

Cl(A) On(A,z) Cl(B)

Cl(B) On(B,z) Cl(C)

PutOn(A,B)

PutOn(B,C)

On(A,B)   *On(B,C)*

FINISH

**PutOn(A,B)
clobbers Cl(B)
=> order after
   PutOn(B,C)**

**PutOn(B,C)
clobbers Cl(C)
=> order after
PutOnTable(C)**