

SOME MIXED CHARACTER SUM IDENTITIES OF KATZ II

Ron Evans
Department of Mathematics
University of California at San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112
revans@ucsd.edu

and

John Greene
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Minnesota–Duluth
Duluth, MN 55812
jgreene@d.umn.edu

Sept 2016

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T24, 33C05.

Key words and phrases. Hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ character sums over finite fields, Gauss and Jacobi sums, norm-restricted Gauss and Jacobi sums, Eisenstein sums, Hasse–Davenport theorems, quantum physics.

Abstract

A conjecture connected with quantum physics led N. Katz to discover some amazing mixed character sum identities over a field of q elements with q odd. His proof required deep algebro-geometric techniques, and he expressed interest in finding a more straightforward direct proof. The first author recently gave such a proof of his identities when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and this paper provides such a proof for the remaining case $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Along the way we prove some elegant new character sum identities.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a field of q elements, where q is a power of an odd prime p . Throughout this paper, $A, B, C, D, \chi, \lambda, \nu, \mu, \varepsilon, \phi$ denote complex multiplicative characters on \mathbb{F}_q^* , extended to map 0 to 0. Here ε and ϕ always denote the trivial and quadratic characters, respectively. Define $\delta(A)$ to be 1 or 0 according as A is trivial or not, and let $\delta(j, k)$ denote the Kronecker delta for $j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Much of this paper deals with the extension field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of \mathbb{F}_q . Let M_4 denote a fixed quartic character on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and let M_8 denote a fixed octic character on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} such that $M_8^2 = M_4$.

Define the additive character ψ on \mathbb{F}_q by

$$\psi(y) := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\left(y^p + y^{p^2} + \cdots + y^q\right)\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

The corresponding additive character on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} will be denoted by ψ_2 .

Recall the definitions of the Gauss and Jacobi sums over \mathbb{F}_q :

$$G(A) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} A(y)\psi(y), \quad J(A, B) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} A(y)B(1-y).$$

These sums have the familiar properties

$$G(\varepsilon) = -1, \quad J(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = q - 2,$$

and for nontrivial A ,

$$G(A)G(\overline{A}) = A(-1)q, \quad J(A, \overline{A}) = -A(-1), \quad J(\varepsilon, A) = -1.$$

Gauss and Jacobi sums are related by [5, p. 59]

$$J(A, B) = \frac{G(A)G(B)}{G(AB)}, \quad \text{if } AB \neq \varepsilon$$

and

$$J(A, \bar{C}) = \frac{A(-1)G(A)G(\bar{A}C)}{G(C)} = A(-1)J(A, \bar{A}C), \quad \text{if } C \neq \varepsilon.$$

The Hasse–Davenport product relation [5, p. 351] yields

$$(1.1) \quad A(4)G(A)G(A\phi) = G(A^2)G(\phi).$$

As in [12, p. 82], define the hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ function over \mathbb{F}_q by

$$(1.2) \quad {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} A, B \\ C \end{matrix} \middle| x \right) = \frac{\varepsilon(x)}{q} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} B(y)\bar{B}C(y-1)\bar{A}(1-xy), \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

For $j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, Katz [13, p. 224] defined the mixed exponential sums

$$(1.3) \quad P(j, k) := \delta(j, k) + \phi(-1)\delta(j, -k) + \frac{1}{G(\phi)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi(a/x - x)\psi(x(j+k)^2 + (a/x)(j-k)^2).$$

Note that

$$(1.4) \quad P(j, k) = P(k, j), \quad P(-j, k) = \phi(-1)P(j, k).$$

Katz proved an equidistribution conjecture of Wootters [13, p. 226], [1] connected with quantum physics by constructing explicit character sums $V(j)$ [13, pp. 226–229]) for which the identities

$$(1.5) \quad P(j, k) = V(j)V(k)$$

hold for all $j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. (The q -dimensional vector $(V(j))_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q}$ is a minimum uncertainty state, as described by Sussman and Wootters [17].) Katz's proof [13, Theorem 10.2] of the identities (1.5) required the characteristic p to exceed 3, in order to guarantee that various sheaves of ranks 2, 3, and 4 have

geometric and arithmetic monodromy groups which are $\mathrm{SL}(2)$, $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, and $\mathrm{SO}(4)$, respectively.

As Katz indicated in [13, p. 223], his proof of (1.5) is quite complex, invoking the theory of Kloosterman sheaves and their rigidity properties, as well as results of Deligne [6] and Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne [4]. Katz [13, p. 223] wrote, “It would be interesting to find direct proofs of these identities.”

The goal of this paper is to respond to Katz’s challenge by giving a direct proof of (1.5) (a “character sum proof” not involving algebraic geometry). This has the benefit of making the demonstration of his useful identities accessible to a wider audience of mathematicians and physicists. Since a direct proof for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ has been given in [8], we will assume from here on that $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

A big advantage of our proof is that it works for all odd characteristics p , including $p = 3$. As a bonus, we obtain some elegant new double character sum evaluations; see for example Theorem 5.5.

Our method of proof is to show (in Section 6) that the double Mellin transforms of both sides of (1.5) are equal. The Mellin transforms of the left and right sides of (1.5) are given in Theorems 3.1 and 5.1, respectively. A key feature of our proof is a formula (Theorem 4.1) relating a norm-restricted Jacobi sum over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} to a hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ character sum over \mathbb{F}_q . Theorem 4.1 will be applied to prove Theorem 5.3, an identity for a weighted sum of hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ character sums. Theorem 5.3 is crucial for our proof of (1.5) in Section 6.

Hypergeometric character sums over finite fields have had a variety of applications in number theory. For some recent examples, see [2], [3], [7], [11], [14], [15], [16].

Since $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have $\phi(-1) = -1$, and every element $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ has the form

$$z = x + iy, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q,$$

where i is a fixed primitive fourth root of unity in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Write $\bar{z} = x - iy$ and note that $\bar{\bar{z}} = z^q$. The restriction of M_8 to \mathbb{F}_q equals ε or ϕ according as q is congruent to 7 or 3 mod 8. In particular,

$$(1.6) \quad M_8(-1) = \phi(2).$$

For a character C on \mathbb{F}_q , we let CN denote the character on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} obtained by

composing C with the norm map N on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} defined by

$$Nz = z\bar{z} \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Given a character B on \mathbb{F}_q , BCN is to be interpreted as the character $(BC)N$, i.e., $BNCN$.

For the same a as in (1.3), define

$$\tau = -\sqrt{qM_8(-a)},$$

where the choice of square root is fixed. Katz defined the sums $V(j)$ to be the following norm-restricted Gauss sums:

$$(1.7) \quad V(j) := \tau^{-1}\phi(j) \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ Nz=a}} M_8(z)\psi_2(j^2z), \quad j \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Note that

$$(1.8) \quad V(-j) = -V(j).$$

2 Mellin transform of the sums $V(j)$

This section begins with some results related to Gauss sums over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} that will be used in this paper. We use the notation G_2 and J_2 for Gauss and Jacobi sums over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , in order to distinguish them from the Gauss and Jacobi sums G and J over \mathbb{F}_q . For any character β on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , we have

$$(2.1) \quad G_2(\beta) = G_2(\beta^q);$$

for example, for a character C on \mathbb{F}_q , $G_2(CNM_8)$ equals $G_2(CN\overline{M}_8)$ or $G_2(CNM_8^3)$ according as q is congruent to 7 or 3 mod 8. The Hasse-Davenport theorem on lifted Gauss sums [5, Theorem 11.5.2] gives

$$(2.2) \quad G_2(CN) = -G(C)^2.$$

From [9, (4.10)],

$$(2.3) \quad G_2(CNM_4) = G_2(CN\overline{M}_4) = -\overline{C}^2\phi(2)G(C^2\phi)G(\phi).$$

For any character β on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , define

$$(2.4) \quad E(\beta) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \beta(1 + iy).$$

It is easily seen that

$$(2.5) \quad E(\beta) = \beta(2)E_2(\beta),$$

where $E_2(\beta)$ is the Eisenstein sum

$$(2.6) \quad E_2(\beta) := \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ z+z^q=1}} \beta(z).$$

Let β^* denote the restriction of β to \mathbb{F}_q . Applying [5, Theorem 12.1.1] with q in place of p , we can express $E_2(\beta)$ in terms of Gauss sums when β is nontrivial, as follows:

$$(2.7) \quad E_2(\beta) = \begin{cases} G_2(\beta)/G(\beta^*) & \text{if } \beta^* \neq \varepsilon \\ -G_2(\beta)/q & \text{if } \beta^* = \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For any character χ on \mathbb{F}_q , define the Mellin transform

$$(2.8) \quad S(\chi) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi(j)V(j).$$

In the case that χ is odd, we may write $\chi = \phi\lambda^2$ for some character λ on \mathbb{F}_q . In that case, we may assume without loss of generality that λ is even, otherwise replace λ by $\phi\lambda$. In summary, when χ is odd,

$$(2.9) \quad \chi = \phi\lambda^2 = \phi\nu^4, \quad \lambda = \nu^2$$

for some character ν on \mathbb{F}_q .

The next theorem gives an evaluation of $S(\chi)$ in terms of Gauss sums.

Theorem 2.1. *If χ is even, then $S(\chi) = 0$. If χ is odd (so that (2.9) holds), then*

$$(2.10) \quad S(\chi) = \bar{\nu}(a)\tau^{-1}G_2(\nu NM_8) + \phi\bar{\nu}(a)\tau^{-1}G_2(\nu NM_8^5).$$

Proof. If χ is even, then $S(\chi)$ vanishes by (1.8) and (2.8). Now assume that χ is odd, so that $\chi = \phi\nu^4$. Then

$$S(\chi) = \frac{\tau^{-1}}{q-1} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} M_8(z) \psi_2(zj^2) \nu^4(j) \sum_C C(N(z)/a).$$

Replace z by z/j^2 to get

$$S(\chi) = \frac{\tau^{-1}}{q-1} \sum_C \bar{C}(a) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} M_8(z) \psi_2(z) CN(z) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \nu^4 \bar{C}^4(j).$$

The sum on j on the right equals $q-1$ when $C \in \{\nu, \phi\nu\}$ and it equals 0 otherwise. Since $\phi N = M_8^4$, the result now follows from the definition of G_2 . \square

3 Double Mellin transform of $V(j)V(k)$

For characters χ_1, χ_2 , define the double Mellin transform

$$(3.1) \quad S = S(\chi_1, \chi_2) := \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(j) \chi_2(k) V(j) V(k).$$

As in (2.9), when χ_1 and χ_2 are both odd,

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_i = \phi \lambda_i^2 = \phi \nu_i^4, \quad \lambda_i = \nu_i^2, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

for some characters ν_1, ν_2 on \mathbb{F}_q . In this case, write

$$(3.3) \quad \mu = \nu_1 \nu_2.$$

The following theorem evaluates S in terms of Gauss and Jacobi sums.

Theorem 3.1. *If χ_1 or χ_2 is even, then $S = 0$. If χ_1 and χ_2 are both odd (so that (3.2) and (3.3) hold), then*

$$(3.4) \quad S = \sum_{i=0}^1 \phi^i \bar{\mu}(a) \frac{q}{G_2(\phi^i \bar{\mu} N)} \{J_2(\nu_1 N M_8, \phi^i \bar{\mu} N) + J_2(\nu_1 N M_8^5, \phi^i \bar{\mu} N)\}.$$

Proof. By (3.1), $S = S(\chi_1)S(\chi_2)$. By Theorem 2.1, $S = 0$ when χ_1 or χ_2 is even. Thus assume that χ_1 and χ_2 are both odd. Then Theorem 2.1 yields

$$(3.5) \quad S = \sum_{i=0}^1 \phi^i \bar{\mu}(a) \frac{M_8(-a)}{q} \times \\ \times \{G_2(\nu_1 N M_8) G_2(\phi^i \mu \bar{\nu}_1 N M_8) + G_2(\nu_1 N M_8^5) G_2(\phi^i \mu \bar{\nu}_1 N M_8^5)\}.$$

A straightforward computation with the aid of (2.1) shows that (3.5) is equivalent to (3.4). The computation is facilitated by noting that $M_8(-a)$ equals 1 or $-\phi(a)$ according as q is congruent to 7 or 3 mod 8, so that the bracketed expression for $i = 0$ in (3.4) is to be compared to that for $i = 1$ in (3.5) when q is congruent to 3 mod 8. \square

4 Identity for a norm-restricted Jacobi sum in terms of a ${}_2F_1$

Let D be a character on \mathbb{F}_q . Define the norm-restricted Jacobi sums

$$(4.1) \quad R(D, j) := \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ N(z)=j^4}} M_8(z) \bar{D}N(1-z), \quad j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

The next theorem provides a formula expressing $R(D, j)$ in terms of a ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric character sum.

Theorem 4.1. *For $j = \pm 1$,*

$$(4.2) \quad R(D, j) = -\bar{D}(4) J(\phi D^2, \phi).$$

For all other $j \in \mathbb{F}_q^$,*

$$(4.3) \quad R(D, j) = -\phi(j) q \bar{D}^4 (j-1) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} D, D^2 \phi \\ D \phi \end{matrix} \middle| - \left(\frac{j+1}{j-1} \right)^2 \right).$$

Proof. Replace z in (4.1) by $-zj^2$. By (1.6), we obtain

$$R(D, j) = \phi(2) \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ N(z)=1}} M_8(z) \bar{D}N(1+zj^2).$$

Each z in the sum must be a square, since $N(z)$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_q . Thus

$$R(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{2} \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ N(z)=1}} M_4(z) \overline{D} N(1 + z^2 j^2).$$

Writing $z = x + iy$, we have

$$R(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{2} \sum_{x^2 + y^2 = 1} M_4(x + iy) \overline{D} ((1 - j^2)^2 + 4j^2 x^2),$$

where it is understood that the sum is over all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for which $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. Thus, since $M_4(\pm i) = M_8(-1) = \phi(2)$,

$$(4.4) \quad R(D, j) = \overline{D}^2 (1 - j^2) + Q(D, j),$$

where

$$Q(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{2} \sum_{\substack{x^2 + y^2 = 1 \\ x \neq 0}} M_4(x + iy) \overline{D} ((1 - j^2)^2 + 4j^2 x^2).$$

Replacing y by yx , we have

$$Q(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 + y^2 = x^{-2} \\ x \neq 0}} M_4(1 + iy) \overline{D} ((1 - j^2)^2 + 4j^2 / (1 + y^2)).$$

Since $\overline{M}_4 = \phi N M_4$, this yields

$$(4.5) \quad Q(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \{M_4(1 + iy) + \overline{M}_4(1 + iy)\} \overline{D} ((1 - j^2)^2 + 4j^2 / (1 + y^2)).$$

First consider the case where $j = \pm 1$. By (4.4) and (4.5),

$$R(D, j) = Q(D, j) = \frac{\overline{D}(4)\phi(2)}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \{DNM_4(1 + iy) + DN\overline{M}_4(1 + iy)\}.$$

By (2.4) and (2.5),

$$(4.6) \quad R(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{2} \{E_2(DNM_4) + E_2(DN\overline{M}_4)\}.$$

The restriction of DNM_4 to \mathbb{F}_q is D^2 . Thus by (2.7),

$$R(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{2G(D^2)} \{G_2(DNM_4) + G_2(DN\overline{M}_4)\},$$

if D^2 is nontrivial, and

$$R(D, j) = \frac{\phi(2)}{-2q} \{G_2(DNM_4) + G_2(DN\overline{M}_4)\},$$

if D^2 is trivial. By (2.3),

$$G_2(DNM_4) = G_2(DN\overline{M}_4) = -\overline{D}(4)\phi(2)G(D^2\phi)G(\phi).$$

Consequently,

$$(4.7) \quad R(D, j) = -\overline{D}(4)J(\phi D^2, \phi)$$

for every D , which completes the proof when $j = \pm 1$. Thus assume for the remainder of this proof that $j^2 \neq 1$.

By (4.5), $Q(D, j)$ equals

$$\frac{\overline{D}^2(1-j^2)}{2\phi(2)} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \{M_4(1+iy) + \overline{M}_4(1+iy)\} \overline{D} \left(1 + \frac{4j^2}{(1+y^2)(1-j^2)^2} \right).$$

By the ‘‘binomial theorem’’ [12, (2.10)], the rightmost factor above equals

$$\frac{q}{q-1} \sum_{\chi} \binom{D\chi}{\chi} \chi \left(\frac{-4j^2}{(1+y^2)(1-j^2)^2} \right),$$

where the ‘‘binomial coefficient’’ over \mathbb{F}_q is defined by [12, p. 80]

$$\binom{A}{B} = \frac{B(-1)}{q} J(A, \overline{B}).$$

Replacing χ with $\overline{\chi}$ and observing that [12, p. 80]

$$\binom{D\overline{\chi}}{\overline{\chi}} = D(-1) \binom{\chi}{\overline{D}\chi},$$

we see that

$$Q(D, j) = \frac{\overline{D}^2(1-j^2)D(-1)\phi(2)q}{2(q-1)} \sum_x \binom{\chi}{\overline{D}\chi} \chi \left(\frac{-(1-j^2)^2}{4j^2} \right) \kappa(\chi),$$

where

$$\kappa(\chi) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \{\chi N M_4(1+iy) + \chi N \overline{M}_4(1+iy)\}.$$

By (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\kappa(\chi) = \chi(4) \{E_2(\chi N M_4) + E_2(\chi N \overline{M}_4)\}.$$

Comparing (4.6) and (4.7), we see that

$$\kappa(\chi) = -2\phi(2)J(\phi\chi^2, \phi) = 2q\phi(2)\overline{\chi}(4) \binom{\phi\chi^2}{\chi},$$

where the last equality follows from the Hasse-Davenport relation (1.1). Consequently,

$$Q(D, j) = \frac{\overline{D}^2(1-j^2)D(-1)q^2}{q-1} \sum_x \binom{\chi}{\overline{D}\chi} \binom{\phi\chi^2}{\chi} \chi \left(\frac{-(1-j^2)^2}{16j^2} \right).$$

Replace χ by $D\chi$ to get

$$Q(D, j) = \frac{\overline{D}(16j^2)q^2}{q-1} \sum_x \binom{D\chi}{\chi} \binom{D^2\phi\chi^2}{D\chi} \chi \left(\frac{-(1-j^2)^2}{16j^2} \right).$$

By [12, (2.15)] with $A = D\chi$, $B = \chi$, and $C = D^2\phi\chi^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^2}{q-1} \binom{D\chi}{\chi} \binom{D^2\phi\chi^2}{D\chi} \\ &= \frac{q^2}{q-1} \binom{D^2\phi\chi^2}{\chi} \binom{D^2\phi\chi}{D\phi\chi} - \chi(-1)\delta(D\chi) + D(-1)\delta(D^2\phi\chi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(D, j) &= \frac{\overline{D}(16j^2)q^2}{q-1} \sum_x \binom{D^2\phi\chi^2}{\chi} \binom{D^2\phi\chi}{D\phi\chi} \chi \left(\frac{-(1-j^2)^2}{16j^2} \right) \\ &\quad - \overline{D}^2(1-j^2) - D(-16j^2)\overline{D}^4(1-j^2). \end{aligned}$$

By [12, Theorem 4.16] with $A = D$, $B = \phi D^2$, and $x = -(j+1)^2/(j-1)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\phi(j)D^4(j-1)\bar{D}(16j^2)\frac{q}{q-1}\sum_x\binom{D^2\phi\chi^2}{\chi}\binom{D^2\phi\chi}{D\phi\chi}\chi\left(\frac{-(1-j^2)^2}{16j^2}\right) = \\ & {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} D, D^2\phi \\ D\phi \end{matrix} \middle| -\left(\frac{j+1}{j-1}\right)^2\right) - \phi(j)D(-16j^2)\bar{D}^4(j+1)/q. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply by $-\phi(j)q\bar{D}^4(j-1)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{D}(16j^2)\frac{q^2}{q-1}\sum_x\binom{D^2\phi\chi^2}{\chi}\binom{D^2\phi\chi}{D\phi\chi}\chi\left(\frac{-(1-j^2)^2}{16j^2}\right) = \\ & -\phi(j)q\bar{D}^4(j-1){}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} D, D^2\phi \\ D\phi \end{matrix} \middle| -\left(\frac{j+1}{j-1}\right)^2\right) + D(-16j^2)\bar{D}^4(1-j^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad & Q(D, j) + \bar{D}^2(1-j^2) + D(-16j^2)\bar{D}^4(1-j^2) = \\ & -\phi(j)q\bar{D}^4(j-1){}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} D, D^2\phi \\ D\phi \end{matrix} \middle| -\left(\frac{j+1}{j-1}\right)^2\right) + D(-16j^2)\bar{D}^4(1-j^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.9), we arrive at the desired result (4.3). \square

5 Double Mellin Transform of $P(j, k)$

For characters χ_1, χ_2 , define the double Mellin transform

$$(5.1) \quad T = T(\chi_1, \chi_2) := \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(j)\chi_2(k)P(j, k).$$

Note that $T(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ is symmetric in χ_1, χ_2 .

The following theorem evaluates T .

Theorem 5.1. *If χ_1 or χ_2 is even, then $T = 0$. If χ_1 and χ_2 are both odd (so that (3.2) and (3.3) hold), then*

$$(5.2) \quad T = \sum_{i=0}^1 \bar{\mu}\phi^i(a) \frac{G(\phi\mu^2)}{G(\phi)} \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(j)h(\mu\phi^i, j) + 2(q-1)\delta(\mu\phi^i) \right\},$$

where for a character D on \mathbb{F}_q and $j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we define

$$(5.3) \quad h(D, j) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} D(x) \phi(1-x) \phi \overline{D}^2(x(j+1)^2 + (j-1)^2).$$

Proof. By (1.4), $P(j, k) = -P(j, -k)$, so $T = 0$ if χ_1 or χ_2 is even. Thus assume that (3.2) and (3.3) hold. Replacing j by jk in (1.3), we obtain

$$T = 2(q-1)\delta(\mu^4) + \frac{1}{G(\phi)} \sum_{x, j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(j) \mu^4(k) \phi(a/x - x) \psi(k^2(x(j+1)^2 + a(j-1)^2/x)).$$

Since $\delta(\mu^4) = \delta(\mu^2)$, this becomes

$$T = 2(q-1)\delta(\mu^2) + \frac{1}{G(\phi)} \sum_{x, j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(j) \mu^2(k) \phi(a/x - x) \psi(k(x(j+1)^2 + a(j-1)^2/x))(1 + \phi(k)).$$

There is no contribution from the 1 in the rightmost factor $(1 + \phi(k))$; to see this, replace k and x by their negatives. Therefore,

$$T = 2(q-1)\delta(\mu^2) + \frac{G(\phi\mu^2)}{G(\phi)} \sum_{x, j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(j) \phi(a/x - x) \phi \overline{\mu}^2(x(j+1)^2 + a(j-1)^2/x).$$

It follows that

$$T = 2(q-1)\delta(\mu^2) \frac{G(\phi\mu^2)}{G(\phi)} + \frac{G(\phi\mu^2)}{G(\phi)} \sum_{x, j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(j) \phi(a-x) \phi \overline{\mu}^2(x(j+1)^2 + a(j-1)^2) \mu(x) (1 + \phi(x)).$$

After replacing x by ax and employing (5.3), the desired result (5.2) readily follows. \square

We proceed to analyze $h(D, j)$.

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$(5.4) \quad h(D, j) = -\phi(j)\overline{D}(16)J(D, \phi), \quad \text{if } j = \pm 1,$$

and for $j \neq \pm 1$ and nontrivial D , we have

$$(5.5) \quad h(D, j) = \frac{G(\phi)G(D)^2}{G(\phi D^2)} \overline{D}^4 (j-1) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} D, D^2\phi \\ D\phi \end{matrix} \middle| - \left(\frac{j+1}{j-1} \right)^2 \right).$$

Finally, if $j \neq \pm 1$ and D is trivial, then $h(D, j) = 0$.

Proof. The evaluation in (5.4) follows directly from the definition of $h(D, j)$ in (5.3). The evaluation in (5.5) is the same as that in [8, (5.21)], the proof of which is valid for q congruent to either 1 or 3 mod 4. Finally, let $j \neq \pm 1$. Then since

$$h(\varepsilon, j) = -1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi(1-x)\phi(x(j+1)^2 + (j-1)^2),$$

replacement of x by $1-x(2j^2+2)/(j+1)^2$ shows that $h(\varepsilon, j) = -1+1 = 0$. \square

Theorem 5.3. *For a character D on \mathbb{F}_q , define*

$$(5.6) \quad W(D) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi \nu_1^4(j) h(D, j).$$

Then $W(\varepsilon) = 2$, and for nontrivial D ,

$$(5.7) \quad W(D) = \frac{-G(\phi)G(D)^2}{qG(\phi D^2)} \{J_2(\nu_1 N M_8, \overline{D}N) + J_2(\nu_1 N M_8^5, \overline{D}N)\}.$$

Proof. It follows directly from Lemma 5.2 that $W(\varepsilon) = 2$. Let D be nontrivial. By Lemma 5.2,

$$(5.8) \quad W(D) = -2\overline{D}(16)J(D, \phi) - \frac{G(\phi)G(D)^2}{qG(\phi D^2)} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ j \neq \pm 1}} \nu_1^4(j) \left(-q\phi(j)\overline{D}^4 (j-1) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} D, D^2\phi \\ D\phi \end{matrix} \middle| - \left(\frac{j+1}{j-1} \right)^2 \right) \right).$$

Thus by (4.1)–(4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} W(D) &= -2\bar{D}(16)J(D, \phi) - \frac{G(\phi)G(D)^2}{qG(\phi D^2)} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ j \neq \pm 1}} \nu_1^4(j)R(D, j) = \\ &- 2\bar{D}(16)J(D, \phi) - \frac{G(\phi)G(D)^2}{qG(\phi D^2)} \{2\bar{D}(4)J(\phi D^2, \phi) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \nu_1^4(j)R(D, j)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This simplifies to

$$(5.9) \quad W(D) = -\frac{G(\phi)G(D)^2}{qG(\phi D^2)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \nu_1^4(j)R(D, j).$$

For brevity, let $Y(D)$ denote this sum on j . It remains to prove that

$$(5.10) \quad Y(D) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \nu_1^4(j)R(D, j) = J_2(\nu_1 N M_8, \bar{D}N) + J_2(\nu_1 N M_8^5, \bar{D}N).$$

Since the fourth powers in \mathbb{F}_q are precisely the squares, it follows from definition (4.1) that

$$Y(D) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \nu_1(j^2) \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ N(z)=j^2}} M_8(z) \bar{D}N(1-z).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Y(D) &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \nu_1(j^2) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*} M_8(z) \bar{D}N(1-z) \sum_{\chi} \chi(N(z)/j^2) = \\ &\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi} J_2(\chi N M_8, \bar{D}N) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (\nu_1 \bar{\chi})^2(j). \end{aligned}$$

The sum on j on the right vanishes unless $\chi \in \{\nu_1, \nu_1 \phi\}$, and so we obtain the desired result (5.10). \square

Theorem 5.4. *For any character ν on \mathbb{F}_q ,*

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{j, x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi \nu^4(j) \phi(x) \phi(1-x) \phi(x(j+1)^2 + (j-1)^2) = \\ &J_2(\nu N M_8, \phi N) + J_2(\nu N M_8^5, \phi N). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows by putting $D = \phi$ in (5.7). □

Theorem 5.5. *When $q \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, we have*

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{j,x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi(jx)\phi(1-x)\phi(x(j+1)^2 + (j-1)^2) = 2q.$$

When $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{j,x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi(jx)\phi(1-x)\phi(x(j+1)^2 + (j-1)^2) = 2u,$$

where $|u|, |v|$ is the unique pair of positive integers with $p \nmid u$ for which $q^2 = u^2 + 2v^2$, and where the sign of u is determined by the congruence $u \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$. In particular, when $q = p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{j,x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi(jx)\phi(1-x)\phi(x(j+1)^2 + (j-1)^2) = 4a_8^2 - 2p,$$

where $p = a_8^2 + 2b_8^2$.

Proof. By (5.11) with $\nu = \varepsilon$, the sum in (5.12) equals

$$J_2(M_8, \phi N) + J_2(M_8^5, \phi N).$$

First suppose that $q \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then

$$G_2(M_8) = G_2(M_8^5), \quad G_2(\phi N) = q$$

by [5, Theorem 11.6.1]. Thus each Jacobi sum above equals q , which proves (5.12).

Now suppose that $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. An application of (2.1) shows that $J_2(M_8^5, \phi N)$ is the complex conjugate of $J_2(M_8, \phi N)$, so that the sum in (5.13) equals $2 \operatorname{Re} J_2(M_8, \phi N)$.

First consider the case where q is prime, i.e., $q = p$. Then $G_2(\phi N) = p$ and by [5, Theorems 12.1.1 and 12.7.1(b)],

$$G_2(M_8) = G(\phi)\pi, \quad G_2(M_8^5) = G(\phi)\bar{\pi}, \quad J_2(M_8, \phi N) = \pi^2,$$

where $\pi = a_8 + ib_8\sqrt{2}$ is a prime in $\mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{2})$ of norm $p = \pi\bar{\pi} = a_8^2 + 2b_8^2$. Note that $\pi^2 = u_1 + iv_1\sqrt{2}$, where

$$u_1 = 2a_8^2 - p, \quad v_1 = 2a_8b_8, \quad u_1^2 + 2v_1^2 = p^2,$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Re} J_2(M_8, \phi N) = u_1 = 2a_8^2 - p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}.$$

In the general case where say $q = p^t$, the Hasse-Davenport lifting theorem [5, Theorem 11.5.2] yields

$$J_2(M_8, \phi N) = (-1)^{t-1} \pi^{2t} = (-1)^{t-1} (u_1 + iv_1 \sqrt{2})^t = u + iv \sqrt{2},$$

for integers u, v such that $q^2 = u^2 + 2v^2$. Since $u_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$, it is easily seen using the binomial theorem that $u \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$. If $p = \pi \bar{\pi}$ divided u , then p would divide v , so that the prime $\bar{\pi}$ would divide π^{2t} , which is impossible. Thus $p \nmid u$. For an elementary proof of the uniqueness of $|u|, |v|$, see [5, Lemma 3.0.1]. \square

Remark: The sum in (5.12), namely

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi(j) h(\phi, j),$$

can be evaluated as well when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The sum vanishes when $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, which can be seen by applying [8, Lemma 5.1] with ϕ in place of D , and then replacing j by jI , where I is a primitive fourth root of unity in \mathbb{F}_q . When $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, the sum in (5.15) equals

$$(5.16) \quad 2q + 2 \operatorname{Re} J(B_8, \phi)^2 = 4c^2,$$

where B_8 is an octic character on \mathbb{F}_q and c, d is the unique pair of positive integers with $p \nmid c$ for which $q = c^2 + 2d^2$. This follows from [8, (5.44)] with B_8 in place of ν_1 , with the aid of [5, Theorem 3.3.1].

6 Proof of Katz's identities (1.5)

When $jk = 0$, both sides of (1.5) vanish, by (1.4) and (1.8). We thus assume that $jk \neq 0$. It suffices to show that the Mellin transforms of the left and right sides of (1.5) are the same for all characters, for then (1.5) follows by taking inverse Mellin transforms. Thus it remains to show that

$$(6.1) \quad S = T,$$

where S and T are given in Theorems 3.1 and 5.1, respectively. These theorems show that S and T both vanish when χ_1 or χ_2 is even, so we may assume

that (3.2) and (3.3) hold. For brevity, write $D = \mu\phi^i$, where $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then (6.1) is equivalent to

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{q}{G_2(\overline{DN})} \{J_2(\nu_1 N M_8, \overline{DN}) + J_2(\nu_1 N M_8^5, \overline{DN})\} = \frac{G(\phi D^2)}{G(\phi)} (W(D) + 2(q-1)\delta(D)).$$

Noting that $G_2(\overline{DN}) = -G(\overline{D})^2$ by (2.2), and using the formula for $W(D)$ in Theorem 5.3, we easily see that (6.2) holds. This completes the proof of (6.1).

References

- [1] I. Amburg, R. Sharma, D. M. Sussman, and W. K. Wootters, States that “look the same” with respect to every basis in a mutually unbiased set, *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014), No. 12, 122206, 14 pp.
- [2] R. Barman and G. Kalita, Hyperelliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_q and Gaussian hypergeometric series, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **30** (2015), 331–348.
- [3] R. Barman and N. Saikia, On the polynomials $x^d + ax^i + b$ and $x^d + ax^{d-i} + b$ over \mathbb{F}_q and Gaussian hypergeometric series, *Ramanujan J.* **35** (2014), 427–441.
- [4] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, *Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers, I* (Conférence de Luminy, 1981), *Astérisque*, **100**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982, 5–171.
- [5] B. C. Berndt, R. J. Evans, and K. S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi sums*, Wiley–Interscience, New York, 1998.
- [6] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil II, *Publ. Math. IHES* **52** (1981), 313–428.
- [7] A. El-Guindy and K. Ono, Hasse invariants for the Clausen elliptic curves, *Ramanujan J.* **31** (2013), 3–13.

- [8] R. J. Evans, Some mixed character sum identities of Katz, arXiv:1607.05889.
- [9] R. J. Evans and J. Greene, Evaluations of hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Hiroshima Math. J. **39** (2009), 217–235.
- [10] R. J. Evans and J. Greene, A quadratic hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ transformation over finite fields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear. arXiv:1511.00020
- [11] J. Fuselier, L. Long, R. Ramakrishna, H. Swisher, F.-T. Tu, Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, arXiv:1510.02575.
- [12] J. Greene, Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), 77–101.
- [13] N. M. Katz, Rigid local systems and a question of Wootters, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **6**, No. 2 (2012), 223–278.
- [14] Y.-H. Lin and F.-T. Tu, Twisted Kloosterman sums, J. Number Theory **147** (2015), 666–690.
- [15] D. McCarthy and M. Papanikolas, A finite field hypergeometric function associated to eigenvalues of a Siegel eigenform, Int. J. Number Theory **11** (2015), 2431–2450.
- [16] A. Salerno, Counting points over finite fields and hypergeometric functions, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **49** (2013), 137–157.
- [17] D. M. Sussman and W. K. Wootters, Discrete phase space and minimum- uncertainty states, in Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Quantum Communication, Measurement and Computing, ed O. Hirota, J. H. Shapiro and M. Sasaki, NICT Press, 2007. arXiv:0704.1277.