CHARACTERIZING THE NUMBER OF m-ARY PARTITIONS MODULO m

GEORGE E. ANDREWS, AVIEZRI S. FRAENKEL, AND JAMES A. SELLERS

ABSTRACT. Motivated by a recent conjecture of the second author related to the ternary partition function, we provide an elegant characterization of the values $b_m(mn)$ modulo m where $b_m(n)$ is the number of m-ary partitions of the integer n and $m \ge 2$ is a fixed integer.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A17, 11P83

Keywords: partition, congruence, generating function

1. INTRODUCTION

Congruences for partition functions have been studied extensively for the last century or so, beginning with the discoveries of Ramanujan [9]. In this note, we will focus our attention on congruence properties for the partition functions which enumerate restricted integer partitions known as *m*-ary partitions. These are partitions of an integer *n* wherein each part is a power of a fixed integer $m \ge 2$. Throughout this note, we will let $b_m(n)$ denote the number of *m*-ary partitions of *n*.

As an example, note that there are five 3-ary partitions of n = 9:

9,
$$3+3+3$$
, $3+3+1+1+1$,
 $3+1+1+1+1+1$, $1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1$

Thus, $b_3(9) = 5$.

In 1940, Mahler [8] found an asymptotic estimate of $b_m(n)$ as n tends to infinity. Mahler's estimate was improved significantly by de Bruijn [5] in 1948.

In the late 1960s, Churchhouse [3, 4] initiated the study of congruence properties of binary partitions (*m*-ary partitions with m = 2). By his own admission, he did so serendipitously. To quote Churchhouse [4], "It is however salutary to realise that the most interesting results were discovered because I made a mistake in a hand calculation!"

Within months, other mathematicians proved Churchhouse's conjectures and proved natural extensions of his results. These included Rødseth [10] who extended Churchhouse's results to include the functions $b_p(n)$ where p is any prime as well as Andrews [2] and Gupta [6, 7] who proved that corresponding results also held for $b_m(n)$ where m could be any integer greater than 1. As part of an infinite family of results, these authors proved that, for any $m \ge 2$ and any nonnegative integer $n, b_m(m(mn-1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

We now fast forward forty years. In 2012, the second author conjectured the following absolutely remarkable result related to the ternary partition function $b_3(n)$:

Date: February 18, 2014.

- For all $n \ge 0$, $b_3(3n)$ is divisible by 3 if and only if at least one 2 appears as a coefficient in the base 3 representation of n.
- Moreover, $b_3(3n) \equiv (-1)^j \pmod{3}$ whenever no 2 appears in the base 3 representation of n and j is the number of 1s in the base 3 representation of n.

This conjecture is remarkable for at least two reasons. First, it provides a complete characterization of $b_3(3n)$ modulo 3. Such **characterizations** in the world of integer partitions are rare. Secondly, the result depends on the base 3 representation of n and nothing else.

Just to "see" what the second author saw, let's quickly look at some data related to this conjecture.

\underline{n}	Base 3 Representation of n	$b_3(3n)$	$b_3(3n) \pmod{3}$
1	1×3^0	2	2
2	2×3^0	3	0
3	$0 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1$	5	2
4	$1 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1$	7	1
5	$2 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1$	9	0
6	$0\times 3^0 + 2\times 3^1$	12	0
7	$1 \times 3^0 + 2 \times 3^1$	15	0
8	$2 \times 3^0 + 2 \times 3^1$	18	0
9	$0\times 3^0 + 0\times 3^1 + 1\times 3^2$	23	2
10	$1\times 3^0 + 0\times 3^1 + 1\times 3^2$	28	1
11	$2\times 3^0 + 0\times 3^1 + 1\times 3^2$	33	0
12	$0\times 3^0 + 1\times 3^1 + 1\times 3^2$	40	1
13	$1\times 3^0 + 1\times 3^1 + 1\times 3^2$	47	2
14	$2\times 3^0 + 1\times 3^1 + 1\times 3^2$	54	0
15	$0\times 3^0 + 2\times 3^1 + 1\times 3^2$	63	0

In recent days, the authors succeeded in proving this conjecture. Thankfully, the proof was both elementary and elegant. After just a bit of additional consideration, we were able to alter the proof to provide a completely unexpected generalization. We describe this generalized result, and provide its proof, in the next section.

2. The Full Result

Our main theorem, which includes the above conjecture in a very natural way, provides a complete characterization of $b_m(mn)$ modulo m:

Theorem 2.1. Let $m \ge 2$ be a fixed integer and let

$$n = a_0 + a_1 m + \dots + a_i m^j$$

be the base m representation of n (so that $0 \le a_i \le m-1$ for each i). Then

$$b_m(mn) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^j (a_i+1) \pmod{m}.$$

Notice that the conjecture mentioned above is exactly the m = 3 case of Theorem 2.1.

 $\mathbf{2}$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need a few elementary tools. We describe these tools here.

First, it is important to note that the generating function for $b_m(n)$ is given by

(1)
$$B_m(q) := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{m^j}}.$$

Note that $B_m(q)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$(1-q)B_m(q) = B_m(q^m).$$

From here it is straightforward to prove that

$$b_m(mn) = b_m(mn+i)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Thus, we see that Theorem 2.1 actually provides a characterization of $b_m(N) \pmod{m}$ for **all** N, not just for those N which are multiples of m.

With this information in hand, we now prove a small number of lemmas which we will use in our proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. For |x| < 1,

$$\frac{1-x^m}{(1-x)^2} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^m k x^{k-1} \pmod{m}.$$

Proof. This elementary congruence can be proven rather quickly using well–known mathematical tools. We begin with the geometric series identity

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k.$$

Differentiating both sides yields

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1}.$$

We then multiply both sides by $1 - x^m$ and simplify as follows:

$$\frac{1-x^m}{(1-x)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1} - x^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1} - \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} (k-m)x^{k-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} kx^{k-1} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} mx^{k-1}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m} kx^{k-1} \pmod{m}$$

Lemma 2.3. Let ζ be the m^{th} root of unity given by $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-\zeta^k q} = m\left(\frac{1}{1-q^m}\right).$$

Proof. Using geometric series and elementary series manipulations, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-\zeta^k q} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{kr} q^r$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r \mid m} \zeta^{kr} q^r + \sum_{r \nmid m} \zeta^{kr} q^r \right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{k(jm)} q^{jm} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{r \nmid m} \zeta^{kr} q^r$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-q^m} \text{ using facts about roots of unity}$$
$$= m \left(\frac{1}{1-q^m} \right).$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $T_m(q) := \sum_{n \ge 0} b_m(mn)q^n$. Then

$$T_m(q) = \frac{1}{1-q} B_m(q)$$

Proof. As in Lemma 2.3, let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Note that

$$T_m(q^m) = \sum_{n \ge 0} b_m(mn)q^{mn}$$

= $\frac{1}{m} \left(B_m(q) + B_m(\zeta q) + \dots + B_m(\zeta^{m-1}q) \right)$
= $\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{m^j}} \right) \times \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^k q}$
= $\frac{1}{1 - q^m} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{m^j}}$

thanks to Lemma 2.3. Lemma 2.4 then follows by replacing q^m by q.

We now combine these elementary facts from the lemmas above to prove one last lemma. This lemma will, in essence, allow us to "move" from considering $T_m(q)$ modulo m to a new function modulo m which makes the result of Theorem 2.1 transparent.

Lemma 2.5. Let
$$U_m(q) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + 2q^{m^j} + 3q^{2m^j} + \dots + mq^{(m-1)m^j} \right)$$
. Then
 $T_m(q) \equiv U_m(q) \pmod{m}.$

Proof. Lemma 2.5 will follow if we can prove that $\frac{1}{T_m(q)} \cdot U_m(q) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, and this will be our means of attack. Thankfully, this follows from a novel generating function manipulation which we demonstrate here. Using (1) and Lemma 2.4, we

know that

$$\frac{1}{T_m(q)} \cdot U_m(q) = (1-q)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{m^j}) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1+2q^{m^j}+3q^{2m^j}+\dots+mq^{(m-1)m^j}\right) \\
\equiv (1-q)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{m^j}) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{m^{j+1}}}{(1-q^{m^j})^2} \pmod{m} \quad \text{thanks to Lemma 2.2} \\
= \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} 1-q^{m^{j+1}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} 1-q^{m^j}} \\
= 1.$$

We can now utilize all of the above results to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof. First, we remember that

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} b_m(mn)q^n = T_m(q) \equiv U_m(q) \pmod{m}.$$

So we simply need to consider $U_m(q)$ modulo m to obtain our proof. Note that

$$U_m(q) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + 2q^{m^j} + 3q^{2m^j} + \dots + mq^{(m-1)m^j} \right).$$

If we expand this product as a power series in q, then each term of the form q^n can occur at most once (because the terms $q^{i \cdot m^j}$ are serving as the building blocks for the **unique** base m representation of m). Thus, if

$$n = a_0 + a_1 m + \dots + a_j m^j,$$

then the coefficient of q^n in this expansion is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{j} (a_i + 1) \pmod{m}.$$

References

- G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, Reading 1976; reprinted, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984, 1998
- G. E. Andrews, Congruence properties of the m-ary partition function, J. Number Theory 3 (1971), 104–110
- R. F. Churchhouse, Congruence properties of the binary partition function, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 66 (1969), 371–376
- R. F. Churchhouse, Binary partitions, in Computers in Number Theory, edited A. O. L. Atkin and B. J. Birch, Academic Press, London, 1971
- 5. N. G. de Bruijn, On Mahler's partition problem, Indag. Math. 10 (1948), 210-220
- H. Gupta, A simple proof of the Churchhouse conjecture concerning binary partitions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 3 (1972), no. 5, 791–794
- 7. H. Gupta, On m-ary partitions, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 71 (1972), 343-345
- 8. K. Mahler, On a special functional equation, J. London Math. Soc. 15 (1940), 115-123
- 9. S. Ramanujan, Some properties of p(n), the number of partitions of n, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **19** (1919), 207–210

 Ø. Rødseth, Some arithmetical properties of m-ary partitions, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 68 (1970), 447–453

Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA, gea1@psu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, 76100 REHOVOT, ISRAEL, AVIEZRI.FRAENKEL@WEIZMANN.AC.IL

Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA, sellersj@psu.edu

6