# ELEMENTARY PROOFS OF PARITY RESULTS FOR 5-REGULAR PARTITIONS

MICHAEL D. HIRSCHHORN AND JAMES A. SELLERS

### Abstract

In a recent paper, Calkin, Drake, James, Law, Lee, Penniston and Radder use the theory of modular forms to examine 5-regular partitions modulo 2 and 13regular partitions modulo 2 and 3. They obtain and conjecture various results. In this note, we use nothing more than Jacobi's triple product identity to obtain results for 5-regular partitions stronger than those obtained by Calkin and his collaborators. We find infinitely many Ramanujan-type congruences for  $b_5(n)$ , and we prove the striking result that the number of 5-regular partitions of the number n is even for at least 75% of the positive integers n.

### Introduction

In the seven–author paper [1], Calkin et al. examine the parity of 5–regular partitions which are defined by

$$\sum_{n>0} b_5(n)q^n = \frac{(q^5; q^5)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}}$$

Using the theory of modular forms, they prove results equivalent to the following:

 $b_5(2n)$  is odd if and only if 12n + 1 is a square,

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}_{\!\mathcal{M}}\!\mathcal{S}\text{-}T_{\!E}\!X$ 

1

and, for all  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$b_5(20n+5)$$
 is even and  $b_5(20n+13)$  is even.

Combining these two results, one can deduce that  $b_5(n)$  is even for at least 60% of the positive integers n.

In this note we use nothing more than Jacobi's triple product identity to prove their two results above.

We also prove infinitely many new Ramanujan-type congruences for  $b_5(n)$ , of which the "smallest" is

$$b_5(1156n+65) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and we prove that

 $b_5(n)$  is even for at least 75% of the positive integers n.

This theorem is striking, because it is believed that the unrestricted partition function, p(n), is even for half of the positive integers n.

#### 2. The proofs

We begin with a fundamental theorem which provides the 2-dissection of the generating function of  $b_5(n)$ .

Theorem 1:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} b_5(n)q^n = \frac{(q^8; q^8)_{\infty}(q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}^2}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2(q^{40}; q^{40})_{\infty}} + q\frac{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^3(q^{10}; q^{10})_{\infty}(q^{40}; q^{40})_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3(q^8; q^8)_{\infty}(q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}}$$

where  $(a;q)_{\infty} = (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)(1-aq^3)\dots$ Proof: We start by noting that

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} b_5(n) q^n = \frac{(q^5; q^5)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{(q,q^2,q^3,q^4;q^5)_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q,q^2,q^3,q^4,q^6,q^7,q^8,q^9;q^{10})_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{(-q,-q^3,-q^7,-q^9,q^{10},q^{10};q^{10})_{\infty}}{(q^2,q^2,q^4,q^6,q^6,q^8,q^{10},q^{10},q^{12},q^{14},q^{14},q^{16},q^{18},q^{18},q^{20},q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{(q^4;q^4)_{\infty}(-q,-q^3,-q^7,-q^9,q^{10},q^{10};q^{10})_{\infty}}{(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}^2(q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}} \end{split}$$

where  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k; q)_{\infty} = (a_1; q)_{\infty} (a_2; q)_{\infty} ... (a_k; q)_{\infty}$ . Now,

$$\begin{split} &(-q,-q^3,-q^7,-q^9,q^{10},q^{10};q^{10})_{\infty} \\ &= \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{5m^2-4m+5n^2-2n} \text{ by Jacobi's triple product identity} \\ &= \sum_{r,s=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{5(r+s)^2-4(r+s)+5(r-s)^2-2(r-s)} + \sum_{r,s=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{5(r+s+1)^2-4(r+s+1)+5(r-s)^2-2(r-s)} \\ &= \sum_{r,s=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{10r^2-6r+10s^2-2s} + q \sum_{r,s=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{10r^2+4r+10s^2+8s} \\ &= (-q^4,-q^8,-q^{12},-q^{16},q^{20},q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty} + q(-q^2,-q^6,-q^{14},-q^{18},q^{20},q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty} \\ &= \frac{(-q^4;q^4)_{\infty}(q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}^2}{(-q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}} + q \frac{(-q^2;q^2)_{\infty}(-q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}(q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}^2}{(-q^4;q^4)_{\infty}(-q^{10};q^{10})_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{(q^8;q^8)_{\infty}(q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}^3}{(q^4;q^4)_{\infty}(q^{40};q^{40})_{\infty}} + q \frac{(q^4;q^4)_{\infty}^2(q^{10};q^{10})_{\infty}(q^{40};q^{40})_{\infty}}{(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}(q^8;q^8)_{\infty}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} b_5(n)q^n = \frac{(q^8; q^8)_{\infty}(q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}^2}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2(q^{40}; q^{40})_{\infty}} + q\frac{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^3(q^{10}; q^{10})_{\infty}(q^{40}; q^{40})_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3(q^8; q^8)_{\infty}(q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}}$$

as claimed.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 2 ([1, Theorem 1]): For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $b_5(2n)$  is odd if and only if 12n + 1 is a perfect square.

Proof: Thanks to Theorem 1 above, we know

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} b_5(2n)q^n = \frac{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}(q^{10}; q^{10})_{\infty}^2}{(q; q)_{\infty}^2 (q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}}$$
$$\equiv \frac{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}(q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} (q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}} \pmod{2}$$
$$= (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}$$
$$\equiv (q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \pmod{2}$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{3n^2 + n}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{3n^2 + n} \pmod{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} b_5(2n) q^{12n+1} \equiv \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} q^{(6n+1)^2} \pmod{2}$$

from which the result follows.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 3: For all  $n \ge 0$ , b(4n + 1) is even unless  $24n + 7 = 2x^2 + 5y^2$  for some integers x, y.

Proof: From Theorem 1, we know

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} b_5(2n+1)q^n = \frac{(q^2;q^2)_\infty^3}{(q;q)_\infty^3} \cdot \frac{(q^5;q^5)_\infty(q^{20};q^{20})_\infty}{(q^4;q^4)_\infty(q^{10};q^{10})_\infty}.$$

4

Now,

$$\frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3}{(q; q)_{\infty}^3} = \prod_{n \ge 1} \left(\frac{1 - q^{2n}}{1 - q^n}\right)^3$$
$$= \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 + q^n)^3$$
$$\equiv \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 + q^n + q^{2n} + q^{3n}) \pmod{2}$$
$$= \prod_{n \ge 1} \frac{1 - q^{4n}}{1 - q^n}$$
$$= \frac{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} b_5(2n+1)q^n \equiv \frac{(q^5;q^5)_{\infty}(q^{20};q^{20})_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}(q^{10};q^{10})_{\infty}} \pmod{2}$$
$$= \prod_{n\geq 1} (1+q^{10n}) \sum_{n\geq 0} b_5(n)q^n$$
$$\equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{10(3n^2+n)/2} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2(3n^2+n)} + \sum_{n\geq 0} b_5(2n+1)q^{2n+1} \right) \pmod{2}.$$

This means

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} b_5(4n+1)q^n \equiv \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(3m^2+m)+5(3n^2+n)/2} \pmod{2}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} b_5(4n+1)q^{24n+7} \equiv \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2(6m+1)^2 + 5(6n+1)^2} \pmod{2}.$$

The result follows.  $\hfill \square$ 

Theorem 4 ([1, Theorem 3]): For all  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$b_5(20n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$
 and  
 $b_5(20n+13) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

Proof: From Theorem 3, we know b(20n+5) is even unless  $24(5n+1)+7 = 2x^2+5y^2$  for some integers x, y. Consideration of this equation modulo 5 yields  $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ . Since 3 is a quadratic non-residue modulo 5, we know that there can be no such solutions. This proves the first congruence. A proof of the second congruence is obtained based on the fact that 2 is the other quadratic non-residue modulo 5.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 5: If p is any prime greater than 3 such that -10 is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p, if u is the reciprocal of 24 modulo  $p^2$  and  $r \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ , then for all m,

$$b_5(4p^2m + 4u(pr - 7) + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

Proof: If we set  $n = p^2m + u(pr - 7)$  then

$$24n + 7 \equiv 24p^2m + pr = p(24pm + r) \pmod{p^2}$$

is divisible by p but not by  $p^2$ . If  $24n + 7 = 2x^2 + 5y^2$ , then  $2x^2 + 5y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ but  $2x^2 + 5y^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ . This is impossible, so by Theorem 3,  $b_5(4n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .  $\Box$ 

Examples: With p = 17, we find that for  $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{17}$  and all m,

$$b_5(1156m + 340r + 337) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

In particular, with r = 6 (and m replaced by m - 2),

$$b_5(1156m + 65) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

We close with one last observation about the parity of  $b_5(n)$ . Theorem 6:  $b_5(n)$  is even for at least 75% of the positive integers n. Proof: By Theorem 2,  $b_5(2n)$  is almost always even, and by Theorem 3,  $b_5(4n+1)$  is almost always even.

The latter statement is true because in the prime factorisation of  $24n + 7 = 2x^2 + 5y^2$ , primes congruent to

 $3, 17, 21, 27, 29, 31, 33 \text{ or } 39 \pmod{40},$ 

those for which -10 is a quadratic non-residue, necessarily occur to an even power (3 itself does not occur). The density of such numbers is

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{\text{such } p>3} \left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)} = 0. \quad \Box$$

## **Bibliography**

 N. Calkin, N. Drake, K. James, S. Law, P. Lee, D. Penniston and J. Radder, Divisibility properties of the 5-regular and 13-regular partition functions, Integers: Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory 8 (2008), #A60.

Michael D. Hirschhorn School of Mathematics and Statistics UNSW Sydney 2052 Australia m.hirschhorn@unsw.edu.au

James A. Sellers Department of Mathematics The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802 sellersj@math.psu.edu