Arithmetic Properties of Non-Squashing Partitions into Distinct Parts

Øystein J. Rødseth

Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, Johs. Brunsgt. 12, N–5008 Bergen, Norway E-mail: rodseth@mi.uib.no

James A. Sellers

Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802 USA E-mail: sellersj@math.psu.edu

Kevin M. Courtright Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802 USA E-mail: kmc260@psu.edu

June 7, 2004

Abstract

A partition $n = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_k$ with $1 \le p_1 \le p_2 \le \cdots \le p_k$ is nonsquashing if $p_1 + \cdots + p_j \le p_{j+1}$ for $1 \le j \le k-1$. On their way towards the solution of a certain box-stacking problem, Sloane and Sellers were led to consider the number b(n) of non-squashing partitions of n into distinct parts. Sloane and Sellers did briefly consider congruences for b(n) modulo 2. In this paper we show that 2^{r-2} is the exact power of 2 dividing the difference $b(2^{r+1}n) - b(2^{r-1}n)$ for n odd and $r \ge 2$.

Keywords: partitions, non-squashing partitions, stacking boxes, congruences 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A17, 11P83

Corresponding Author: James A. Sellers, Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802 USA
E-mail: sellersj@math.psu.edu
Phone: (814) 865-7528
Fax: (814) 863-9667

1 Introduction

We begin by considering the following combinatorial problem. Suppose we have boxes with labels $1, 2, 3, \ldots$ A box labeled *i* weighs *i* pounds and can support a total weight of *i* pounds. We wish to build single stacks of boxes with distinct labels in such a way that no box will be squashed by the weight of the boxes above it. What is the number of different ways to build such a single stack of boxes where the total weight of all the boxes in the stack is exactly *n* pounds?

For the sake of precision, let us say that a partition of a natural number n is *non-squashing* if, when the parts are arranged in nondecreasing order, say

$$n = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$$
 with $1 \le p_1 \le p_2 \le \dots \le p_k$

we have

$$p_1 + \dots + p_j \le p_{j+1}$$
 for $1 \le j \le k - 1$.

If the boxes in a stack are labeled (from the top) p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k , the stack will not collapse if and only if the corresponding partition is non-squashing.

It was shown by Hirschhorn and Sellers [1] that the number of nonsquashing partitions of n is equal to the number of "binary partitions" of n, a much studied partition function. In fact, Hirschhorn and Sellers proved a more general result, and an alternative proof is given in [4].

Throughout this paper, we will denote the number of non-squashing partitions of n into *distinct* parts by b(n). So the question posed in the opening paragraph is: What is b(n) for a given positive integer n?

As an example, we see that b(10) = 9 with the following stacks being allowed:

				1		1	1	2
	1	2	3	2	4	3	4	3
10	9	8	7	7	6	6	5	5

Note that the stack

is not allowed even though the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 are distinct and sum to 10. The bottom box of this stack, which can withstand a combined weight of 4

 $\boxed{\frac{2}{3}}{4}$

pounds, will be squashed by the weight of the boxes above it.

The first several values of the sequence $\{b(n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ can be found in Sloane's Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [3, Sequence A088567]. In their recent work, Sloane and Sellers [4] extensively studied b(n). In particular, they showed that the generating function $B(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n$ satisfies the functional equation

(1)
$$B(q) = \frac{1}{1-q}B(q^2) - \frac{q^2}{1-q^2},$$

and is given explicitly by

(2)
$$B(q) = \frac{1}{1-q} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{3 \cdot 2^{i-1}}}{\prod_{j=0}^{i} (1-q^{2^{j}})}$$

An immediate consequence of (2) is that b(n), the number of non-squashing partitions of n into distinct parts, is equal to the number of partitions of ninto non-decreasing powers of 2 such that either all parts are equal to 1 or, if the largest part has size $2^i > 1$, then there is also at least one part of size 2^{i-1} present in the partition.

Sloane and Sellers [4, Corollary 4] did briefly consider congruences for b(n) modulo 2. Since b(n) can be viewed as a restricted binary partition function (given the interpretation above), we searched for congruence properties of b(n) similar to those satisfied by some other restricted binary partition functions, as studied by Rødseth and Sellers [2], and discovered the following result.

Theorem 1 For each integer $r \geq 2$, we have

(3)
$$b(2^{r+1}n) - b(2^{r-1}n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r-2}}.$$

Moreover, no higher power of 2 divides the left hand side of (3) if n is odd.

We prove Theorem 1 using tools developed by Rødseth and Sellers [2] as well as the functional equation (1).

2 Auxiliaries

The power series in this paper will be elements of $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, the ring of formal power series in q with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . We define a \mathbb{Z} -linear operator

$$U: \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$$

by

$$U\sum_{n} a(n)q^{n} = \sum_{n} a(2n)q^{n}.$$

Notice that if $f(q), g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, then

(4)
$$U(f(q)g(q^2)) = (Uf(q))g(q).$$

Moreover, if $f(q) = \sum_n a(n)q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]], g(q) = \sum_n c(n)q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, and M is a positive integer, then we have

$$f(q) \equiv g(q) \pmod{M} \qquad (\text{in } \mathbb{Z}[[q]])$$

if and only if, for all n,

$$a(n) \equiv c(n) \pmod{M}$$
 (in \mathbb{Z}).

We shall use below the following identity for binomial coefficients:

(5)
$$\binom{2n+r-1}{r} = \sum_{i=\lceil r/2 \rceil}^{r} (-1)^{r-i} 2^{2i-r} \binom{i}{r-i} \binom{n+i-1}{i}.$$

The truth of this relation follows by expanding both sides of the identity

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} = \frac{1}{(1-q(2-q))^n}$$

and comparing the coefficient of q^r on each side of the equation.

Let

$$h_i = h_i(q) = \frac{q}{(1-q)^{i+1}}, \qquad i \ge 0.$$

Then

(6)
$$h_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{n+i-1}{i} q^n,$$

so that

$$Uh_r = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n+r-1}{r} q^n.$$

It follows from (5) and (6) that

(7)
$$Uh_{r} = \sum_{i=\lceil r/2 \rceil}^{r} (-1)^{r-i} 2^{2i-r} {i \choose r-i} h_{i}$$

for $r \geq 0$.

Next, we recursively define $K_r = K_r(q)$ by

(8)
$$K_2 = 2^3 h_2$$
 and $K_{i+1} = U\left(\frac{1}{1-q}K_i\right)$

for $i \geq 2$. We have the following lemma regarding K_r .

Lemma 1 For $1 \le i \le r-1$, there exist $\gamma_r(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

(9)
$$K_r = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_r(i) h_{i+1},$$

where

(10)
$$\gamma_r(i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r+i}}.$$

Proof. This is a weak version of [2, Lemma 1]. \blacksquare

Lemma 2 For $r \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, there exist $\delta_r(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

(11)
$$UK_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \delta_r(i)h_i,$$

where

(12)
$$\delta_r(i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r+i}}.$$

Proof. This is a weak version of [2, Lemma 2].

Now we define

$$L_2 = 2^2 h_2 + h_1,$$

and, for $i \ge 2$,

(13)
$$L_{i+1} = K_{i+1} - (UK_i)\frac{1}{1-q} + UL_i$$

Lemma 3 For $r \geq 2$, there exist $\lambda_r(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

(14)
$$L_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_r(i)h_i,$$

where

(15)
$$\lambda_r(1) \equiv 2^{r-2} \pmod{2^{r-1}}$$

and

(16)
$$\lambda_r(i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r+i-2}} \quad for \ 2 \le i \le r.$$

Proof. We use induction on r. The lemma is true for r = 2. Suppose that for some $r \ge 3$ there are integers $\lambda_{r-1}(j)$ such that

(17)
$$L_{r-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \lambda_{r-1}(j) h_j,$$

where

(18)
$$\lambda_{r-1}(1) \equiv 2^{r-3} \pmod{2^{r-2}}$$

and

(19)
$$\lambda_{r-1}(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r+j-3}} \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq r-1.$$

Then, by (17) and (7),

$$UL_{r-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \lambda_{r-1}(j) Uh_j$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \lambda_{r-1}(j) \sum_{i=\lceil j/2 \rceil}^{j} (-1)^{j-i} 2^{2i-j} {i \choose j-i} h_i$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{j=i}^{\min(r-1,2i)} (-1)^{j-i} 2^{2i-j} {i \choose j-i} \lambda_{r-1}(j) h_i$.

Moreover, by (13), (9), and (11),

$$L_{r} = K_{r} - (UK_{r-1})\frac{1}{1-q} + UL_{r-1}$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{r}(i)h_{i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \delta_{r-1}(i)h_{i+1} + UL_{r-1}$
= $\sum_{i=2}^{r} \gamma_{r}(i-1)h_{i} - \sum_{i=2}^{r} \delta_{r-1}(i-1)h_{i} + UL_{r-1},$

so that (14) holds with

(20)
$$\lambda_r(1) = -\lambda_{r-1}(2) + 2\lambda_{r-1}(1),$$

and, for $2 \leq i \leq r$,

(21)
$$\lambda_{r}(i) = \gamma_{r}(i-1) - \delta_{r-1}(i-1) + \sum_{j=i}^{\min(r-1,2i)} (-1)^{j-i} 2^{2i-j} {i \choose j-i} \lambda_{r-1}(j).$$

It follows that all the $\lambda_r(i)$ are integers. Furthermore, by (19) with j = 2, (18) and (20), we get (15). Finally, (16) follows from (21), (10), (12), and (19).

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout this section, the element f(q) of $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ will simply be written as f. If the argument is not q, then we will, of course, include the argument in the notation.

By (7), we have

$$(22) Uh_0 = h_0,$$

$$Uh_1 = 2h_1,$$

(24)
$$Uh_2 = 4h_2 - h_1.$$

Also notice that

(25)
$$U\frac{1}{1-q} = \frac{1}{1-q}.$$

Using (1) and (4), we find that

$$UB = \frac{1}{1-q}B - \frac{q}{1-q}$$

= $\frac{1}{1-q}\left(\frac{1}{1-q}B(q^2) - h_0(q^2)\right) - h_0$
= $\left(h_1 + \frac{1}{1-q}\right)B(q^2) - \frac{1}{1-q}h_0(q^2) - h_0.$

Applying U once more, we get, using (4), (22), (23), and (25),

$$U^{2}B = \left(Uh_{1} + U\frac{1}{1-q}\right)B - \left(U\frac{1}{1-q}\right)h_{0} - Uh_{0}$$
$$= \left(2h_{1} + \frac{1}{1-q}\right)B - h_{1} - h_{0}.$$

Furthermore,

$$U^{2}B - B = (2h_{1} + h_{0})(B - 1) + h_{1}$$

= $(2h_{1} + h_{0})\left(\frac{1}{1 - q}B(q^{2}) - \frac{1}{1 - q^{2}}\right) + h_{1}$
= $(2h_{2} + h_{1})B(q^{2}) - (2h_{1} + h_{0})\frac{1}{1 - q^{2}} + h_{1},$

so that, using (22), (23), (24), and (8),

$$U^{3}B - UB = (2Uh_{2} + Uh_{1})B - (2Uh_{1} + Uh_{0})\frac{1}{1 - q} + Uh_{1}$$

= $8h_{2}B - 4h_{2} + h_{1}$
= $K_{2}(B - 1) + L_{2}$.

Thus

(26)
$$U^{r+1}B - U^{r-1}B = K_r(B-1) + L_r$$

is true for r = 2. Suppose that (26) holds for some $r \ge 2$. Then we have

$$U^{r+1}B - U^{r-1}B = K_r \left(\frac{1}{1-q}B(q^2) - \frac{1}{1-q^2}\right) + L_r$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{1-q}K_r\right)B(q^2) - K_r\frac{1}{1-q^2} + L_r$$

and applying U we get by (8) and (13),

$$U^{r+2}B - U^{r}B = K_{r+1}B - (UK_{r})\frac{1}{1-q} + UL_{r}$$

= $K_{r+1}(B-1) + L_{r+1}.$

Thus (26) holds for all $r \ge 2$.

For $r \geq 2$, we have, by Lemma 1,

$$K_r \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r+1}},$$

and, by Lemma 3,

$$L_r \equiv 2^{r-2} h_1 \pmod{2^{r-1}},$$

so that, by (26) and (6),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b(2^{r+1}n) - b(2^{r-1}n))q^n \equiv 2^{r-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nq^n \pmod{2^{r-1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$b(2^{r+1}n) - b(2^{r-1}n) \equiv 2^{r-2}n \pmod{2^{r-1}},$$

and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- M. D. Hirschhorn and J. A. Sellers, A different view of *m*-ary partitions, Australasian J. Combinatorics, to appear. [Available from http://www.math.psu.edu/sellers/mike-m-ary.pdf.]
- [2] Ø. J. Rødseth and J. A. Sellers, Binary partitions revisited, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A 98 (2002), 33–45.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/, 2003.
- [4] N. J. A. Sloane and J. A. Sellers, On non-squashing partitions, to appear. [Available from http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0312418.]