## Chi-Square

Are two ways of Categorizing people or things related?

Both Variables Qualitative/Categorical/Membership
Step 1: Arrange data into a frequency/contingency table
Step 2: Compute Expected Frequencies Based Upon Null Hypothesis

Step 3: Compare Obtained Frequencies to Expected Frequencies Do they Agree?

## 1: Contingency Table

| Are Abortion Attitudes Related to Gender? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abortion Attitude |  |  |  |
| Women | Acceptable | Uncceptable | Row Total |  |
|  | 59 | 29 | 88 |  |
| Men | 15 | 37 | 52 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Column Total | 74 | 66 | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | Grand Total |

## 2: Expected Frequencies

For each Cell: (Row Total x Column Total) / Grand Total

| Are Abortion Attitudes Related to Gender? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acceptable | Uncceptable | Row Total |  |
| Women | 59 | 29 | 88 |  |
| Men | 15 | 37 | 52 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Column Total | 74 | 66 | 140 | Grand Total |


| 74 | 88 | 140 | 46.51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 66 | 88 | 140 | 41.49 |
| 74 | 52 | 140 | 27.49 |
| 66 | 52 | 140 | 24.51 |


| 46.51 | 41.49 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 27.49 | 24.51 |

## 3: Compare

Error

Estimated Expected


Evaluation
$\downarrow$

For Each Cell

## Do O and E Agree?

| $O$ | $E$ | $O-E$ | $(O-E)^{2}$ | $\frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | 46.51 | 12.49 | 156.00 | 3.35 |
| 15 | 27.49 | -12.49 | 156.00 | 5.67 |
| 29 | 41.49 | -12.49 | 156.00 | 3.76 |
| 37 | 24.51 | 12.49 | 156.00 | $\chi^{2}=\frac{6.36}{19.14}$ |

The more the Observed frequencies differ from the Expected Frequencies,
-The Larger X²
-The Lower the probability of the outcome, given $\mathrm{H}_{0}$

## Is It Significant?

## $d f=$ <br> (Rows-1)*(Columns-1)

Significance:
Equal to or Greater Than Critical Value

|  | a levels |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d f$ | . 10 | . 05 | . 02 | . 01 | . 001 |
| 1 | 2.71 | 3.84 | 5.41 | 6.64 | 10.81 |
| 2 | 4.60 | 5.99 | 7.82 | 9.21 | 13.82 |
| 3 | 6.25 | 7.82 | 9.84 | 11.34 | 16.27 |
| 4 | 7.78 | 9.49 | 11.67 | 13.28 | 18.46 |
| 5 | 9.24 | 11.07 | 13.39 | 15.09 | 20.52 |
| 6 | 10.64 | 12.59 | 15.03 | 16.81 | 22.46 |
| 7 | 12.02 | 14.07 | 16.62 | 18.48 | 24.32 |
| 8 | 13.36 | 15.51 | 18.17 | 20.09 | 26.12 |
| 9 | 14.68 | 16.92 | 19.68 | 21.67 | 27.88 |
| 10 | 15.99 | 18.31 | 21.16 | 23.21 | 29.59 |
| 11 | 17.28 | 19.68 | 22.62 | 24.72 | 31.26 |
| 12 | 18.55 | 21.03 | 24.05 | 26.22 | 32.91 |
| 13 | 19.81 | 22.36 | 25.47 | 27.69 | 34.53 |
| 14 | 21.06 | 23.68 | 26.87 | 29.14 | 36.12 |
| 15 | 22.31 | 25.00 | 28.26 | 30.58 | 37.70 |
| 16 | 23.54 | 26.30 | 29.63 | 32.00 | 39.25 |
| 17 | 24.77 | 27.59 | 31.00 | 33.41 | 40.79 |
| 18 | 25.99 | 28.87 | 32.35 | 34.80 | 42.31 |
| 19 | 27.20 | 30.14 | 33.69 | 36.19 | 43.82 |
| 20 | 28.41 | 31.41 | 35.02 | 37.57 | 45.32 |
| 21 | 29,62 | 32.67 | 36.34 | 38.93 | 46.80 |
| 22 | 30.81 | 33.92 | 37.66 | 40.29 | 48.27 |
| 23 | 32.01 | 35.17 | 38.97 | 41.64 | 49.73 |
| 24 | 33.20 | 36.42 | 40.27 | 42.98 | 51.18 |
| 25 | 34.38 | 37.65 | 41.57 | 44.31 | 52.62 |
| 26 | 35.56 | 38.88 | 42.86 | 45.64 | 54.05 |
| 27 | 36.74 | 40.11 | 44.14 | 46.96 | 55.48 |
| 28 | 37.92 | 41.34 | 45.42 | 48.28 | 56.89 |
| 29 | 39.09 | 42.56 | 46.69 | 49.59 | 58.30 |
| 30 | 40.26 | 43.77 | 47.96 | 50.89 | 59.70 |

## Who Cares?

Is the Relationship non-Trivial?
For a $2 \times 2$ Chi-Square


0 = No Relationship
1 = Perfect Relationship (What would that be?)
$\phi=0.10$
$\phi=0.30$
$\phi=0.50$
Small Effect
Medium Effect
Large Effect

$$
\phi=\sqrt{\frac{\chi^{2}}{N}}=\sqrt{\frac{19.14}{140}}=\sqrt{.1367}=.37
$$

## Hypothesis Testing: Goodness of Fit <br> A One-Group Chi-Square

1. Specify Some Expected Probabilities/Proportions in Advance
2. Collect some data
3. Convert your Expected Proportions into Expected Frequencies Based upon the Total number of subjects assessed
4. Compare your Expected Frequencies to your Obtained Frequencies $d f=$ \# of Categories - 1

## Is Handedness Distributed Randomly in Monkeys



## What If Outcome Was 14,6?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llll} 
& \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{L} & \\
\text { Expected Probability } & 50 \% & 50 \% & \\
\text { Obtained Frequency } & 14 & 6 & \mathrm{~N}=20 \\
\text { Expected Frequencies } & 10 & 10 & \mathrm{~N}=20
\end{array} \\
& (14-10)^{2} / 10+(6-10)^{2} / 10 \\
& 16 / 10+16 / 10=3.2 \\
& d f=\mathrm{K}-1=2-1=1 \\
& \text { Critical X }{ }_{2 \text {-tail,1df }}=3.841 \\
& 3.2<3.841 \Rightarrow \text { Retain Null Hypothesis }
\end{aligned}
$$

## What If Hypothesis was Monkeys Lateralized to Right?

|  | R | L |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expected Probability | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Obtained Frequency | 14 | 6 | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ |
| Expected Frequencies | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& (14-10)^{2} / 10+(6-10)^{2} / 10 \\
& 16 / 10+16 / 10 \quad=3.2 \\
& d f=\mathrm{K}-1=2-1=1
\end{aligned}
$$

What if More
Monkeys were Lefties?

Critical X ${ }_{1 \text {-tail, } 1 \mathrm{df}}=2.706$
$3.2<2.706 \Rightarrow$ Reject Null Hypothesis

## What If Hypothesis was Monkeys Are Not Like Us?



